

1 **Presentation and Discussion Long Range Planning Road Map.**

2
3 Abby Gillfillan gave a presentation on the Long Range Planning Road Map.

4
5 John David Carson suggested that Star Park be included with the standard regulating plan
6 process.

7
8 David Singleton stated that cost implications for street cross sections would be helpful when
9 making decisions.

10
11 Sofia Nelson expressed concern that the Planning & Zoning Commission and City Council
12 Meetings are too close together on the proposed timeline. John David Carson suggested allowing
13 more time to review the draft code to determine if the timeline is reasonable.

14
15 John David Carson also suggested conducting a Planning & Zoning Commission workshop
16 similar to the City Council workshop.

17
18 With several terms being suggested for various aspect of the regulating plan, Chris wood asked
19 staff to compile a list of the terms that the Think Tank could approved.

20
21 Sofia Nelson asked if the Transportation Master Plan is on the same schedule as Code SMTX.
22 Abby Gillfillan explained that staff will try to review and approve them concurrently. Sofia
23 Nelson then explained that knowing the location of corridors within the Areas of Stability would
24 be helpful.

25
26 **Presentation and Discussion regarding “working” draft of CodeSMTX**

27
28 Brian and Bill Wright gave a presentation on the CodeSMTX draft.

29
30 Diann McCabe asked for the best method to review the draft. Brian Write suggested reviewing
31 the document by personal preference, e.g. focus on the visual sections for more visual reviewers.
32 John David Carson suggested using test cases as examples to avoid having to read the entire
33 code. Abby Gillfillan told the Think Tank not to focus on grammatical errors in the code.

34
35 Betsy Robertson and Chris Wood expressed concern regarding a required raised finished floor
36 elevation for all residential development.

37
38 John David Carson asked if the thoroughfare assemblies are connected with transportation
39 master plan. Abby Gillfillan explained that they are.

40
41 **Overarching Code Topics**

42
43 **a. Future Agenda Items**

44
45 Abby Gillfillan suggested puling a few of the existing topics from other agendas to discuss as a
46 group.

1
2 John David Carson suggested that every meeting alternate between a presentation style meeting
3 and a work meeting. The Think Tank as a whole agreed.
4

5 **Next Steps**
6

7 **b. Future Agenda Items**
8

9 John David asked that Think Tank members send additional future agenda items to staff.
10

11 Tom Wassenich said that the City Council is looking at details of the flood and he suggested
12 discussing floodplain regulations at the Think Tank in the future.
13

14 Kristy Stark mentioned that changes may need to be made to reflect flood-prone areas on the
15 regulating plans.
16

17 Sofia Nelson asked to discuss parking and site development requirements.
18

19 John David Carson asked to discuss existing and future light industrial uses within the
20 character districts; a policy for reviewing when public financial districts are appropriate;
21 zoning intensity translation areas; and a procedure for City projects to be reviewed per the
22 Land Development Code, e.g. the Downtown CIP project.
23

24 **Questions from the press and public**
25

26 There were no questions from the press or public.
27

28 **Adjourn**
29

30 **THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:18**
31 **P.M.**
32

33 _____
34 John David Carson, Chair
35

36 _____
37 Shawn DuPont
38

39 _____
40 Chris Wood
41

42 _____
43 Nancy Nusbaum
44

45 _____
46 Tom Wassenich

1
2
3
4
5

ATTEST:

Tory Carpenter, Planner