

Process and Results – Ranking for Iteration 1

Purpose:

- ❖ To develop the first draft of the implementation plan structure
- ❖ To decide on the main structure by vote of the committee

Process:

- ❖ Using the previous activity related to ranking, the top 8 items were identified based on the number of times they appeared either in the previous activities or in the top tier of the previous activities; a chart was created to identify these top 8
- ❖ The next 12 items that made it to the ranking list were voted on to determine if they would be included in the implementation plan structure as stand-alone items or as objectives of the other main items; see extended chart
- ❖ Last, items a.-q. were voted on by the committee to first decide if they should be included at all, and second to decide if they should be stand-alone items or as objectives of the other main items; see extended chart
- ❖ The few items that needed a final vote / tie-breaker were decided by the committee at meeting 4 on 4/8/19 (Lifesaving Vision, Target Safety Net Programs, and Increase Return to Owner)

Result:

- ❖ The result was Iteration 1 of the Pawsitive Outcomes Implementation Plan
- ❖ Iteration 1 includes 14 strategies, each with sub-strategies (as few as 1 and as many as 11)

March/April 2019

Pawsitive Outcomes Implementation Plan Committee

San Marcos Regional Animal Shelter