Due to COVID-19, and as long as the State Disaster Declaration is in effect, this will be a virtual meeting. To view the meeting please go to www.sanmarcostx.gov/videos or watch on Grande channel 16 or Spectrum channel 10.

I. Call To Order

II. Roll Call

PRESENTATIONS

1. Receive a Staff presentation and hold discussion on text amendments to the San Marcos Development Code to address recommendations from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee, the Housing Task Force, the Historic Preservation Commission, Planning and Zoning Commission, and recommendations from City staff concerning application processing and requirements, block perimeter standards, Certificate of Appropriateness appeals, Concept Plat applicability, right-of-way dimensional standards, building type definitions, Neighborhood Density District zoning regulations, Character District zoning regulations, a new Special Events Facility use, multifamily parking standards, accessory dwelling units, neighborhood transitions, durable building materials, detention and water quality requirements for plats of four residential lots or less, detention requirements outside the Urban Stormwater Management District, delineation of water quality and buffer zones, channel design for water quality zone reclamation, sensitive geologic feature protection zones, geological assessment waivers, and Qualified Watershed Protection Plan applicability, and adoption of Appendix Q of the International Residential Code. Such presentation will also include an update on the status of the San Marcos Strategic Housing Action Plan.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

III. Adjournment.

POSTED ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 6, 2020 @ 6:00PM

TAMMY K. COOK, INTERIM CITY CLERK
Notice of Assistance at the Public Meetings

The City of San Marcos does not discriminate on the basis of disability in the admission or access to its services, programs, or activities. Individuals who require auxiliary aids and services for this meeting should contact the City of San Marcos ADA Coordinator at 512-393-8000 (voice) or call Texas Relay Service (TRS) by dialing 7-1-1. Requests can also be faxed to 855-461-6674 or sent by e-mail to ADArequest@sanmarcostx.gov
AGENDA CAPTION:
Receive a Staff presentation and hold discussion on text amendments to the San Marcos Development Code to address recommendations from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee, the Housing Task Force, the Historic Preservation Commission, and recommendations from City staff concerning application processing and requirements, block perimeter standards, Certificate of Appropriateness appeals, Concept Plat applicability, right-of-way dimensional standards, building type definitions, Neighborhood Density District zoning regulations, Character District zoning regulations, a new Special Events Facility use, multifamily parking standards, accessory dwelling units, neighborhood transitions, durable building materials, detention and water quality requirements for plats of four residential lots or less, detention requirements outside the Urban Stormwater Management District, delineation of water quality and buffer zones, channel design for water quality zone reclamation, sensitive geologic feature protection zones, geological assessment waivers, and Qualified Watershed Protection Plan applicability, and adoption of Appendix Q of the International Residential Code. Such presentation will also include an update on the status of the San Marcos Strategic Housing Action Plan.

Meeting date: August 18, 2020
Background Information:
A joint workshop between the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council was held in June 2019. The purpose of the workshop was to allow City Council and the Planning and Zoning Commission the opportunity to review the proposed changes and provide staff direction on which requests should be reviewed and brought back with a recommendation. At that time, the Commission and Council identified items that they would like staff to analyze and bring back for further discussion and items that would not be considered as part of this annual update. For specific amendments, the Commission and Council also provided additional direction and items that should be considered in staff’s analysis.

In November 2019 the Planning and Zoning Commission reviewed and provided a recommendation on proposed Phase 1 amendments which consisted of typos and technical errors, policy items that the joint committee directed be expedited at the June 2019 workshop, and amendments related to House Bills approved during the 2019 Legislative Session. These amendments were reviewed at the December 3rd City Council meeting and approved upon second reading at the December 17th City Council meeting.

At this time, staff is presenting Phase 2 amendments proposed by City Staff and several City Boards and Commissions. These amendments received initial approval from City Council on March 3, 2020. At their regular meeting on May 12, 2020, the Planning and Zoning Commission received a staff presentation and held a public hearing on Phase 2 amendments. At their regular meeting on June 9, 2020 they recommended approval of the amendments with some changes noted below.

Council Committee, Board/Commission Action:
At their regular meeting on June 9, 2020 the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval with the following amendments:
**File #:** ID#20-526, **Version: 1**

*Item #1:* Require an informational meeting with Planning & Zoning Commission for Watershed Protection Plans that are 40 acres or more.

*Item #2:* Provide standards for exemption including lot size and impervious cover limits, and do not allow exemption for series of 4 lots or less plat submittals with the intention of creating a development more than 4 lots.

*Item #4:* Provide standards for exemption including lot size and impervious cover limits.

*Item #13:* Clarify applicability and remove specific single family specific regulation.

*Item #15:* Denial of 6:1 for infill development.

**Alternatives:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Recommendation:**

Staff recommends *approval* of the proposed Land Development Code text amendments as presented.
Phase 2 Code Amendments

Receive a staff presentation and hold discussion on text amendments to the San Marcos Development Code to address recommendations from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee, the Housing Task Force, the Historic Preservation Commission, and recommendations from City staff concerning application processing and requirements, block perimeter standards, Certificate of Appropriateness appeals, Concept Plat applicability, right-of-way dimensional standards, building type definitions, Neighborhood Density District zoning regulations, Character District zoning regulations, a new Special Events Facility use, multifamily parking standards, accessory dwelling units, neighborhood transitions, durable building materials, detention and water quality requirements for plats of four residential lots or less, detention requirements outside the Urban Stormwater Management District, delineation of water quality and buffer zones, channel design for water quality zone reclamation, sensitive geologic feature protection zones, geological assessment waivers, and Qualified Watershed Protection Plan applicability, and adoption of Appendix Q of the International Residential Code.
Code Amendment History

• March – May, 2019 – Public Solicitation for Amendments
• June, 2019 – City Council / Planning and Zoning Commission Joint Workshop

• December, 2019 – Phase 1 approved by City Council

• March 3, 2020 – Phase 2 initial authorization from City Council
• May 12, 2020 – Phase 2 Public Hearing at Planning & Zoning Commission
  (postponed from March 28, 2020 due to COVID-19)
• June 9, 2020 – Phase 2 Recommendation from Planning and Zoning Commission
• August 18, 2020 – Phase 2 Work Session Presentation & Discussion
• August 18, 2020 – Phase 2 Public Hearing and Action
• September 1, 2020 – Phase 2 Ordinance Reconsideration
What is a Qualified Watershed Protection Plan (QWPP)?

• QWPP’s are required for developments that
  ▪ Reclaim floodplain, water quality, and/or buffer zones
  ▪ Request to increase impervious cover requiring mitigation
  ▪ Development of 20 acres or more of land within the floodplain

• QWPP’s must comply with environmental chapter and Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance and include mitigation measures.

• P&Z approves QWPP’s

Reason for Change:
• Criteria for approval is a technical assessment that allows limited discretionary direction by P&Z. Need for additional P&Z approval has been questioned.
#1 & #9  Expand Administrative Approval Ability
For Qualified Watershed Protection Plans

Proposed Revision: Previous mitigation measures as part of QWPP are now required in code.
- Reclamation must be accomplished in a way that preserves the natural function and aesthetic of original waterway.
- TSS removal requirement for increase in impervious cover or water quality and buffer zone reclamation.
- QWPP’s come before P&Z when mitigation proposed varies from code requirements.

Planning & Zoning Commission Recommendation:
- Add an information meeting when the property is greater than 40 acres.
#2 & #4 Fee-in-Lieu of Detention & Exemption to Water Quality Treatment Requirement
For Platting 4 or Less Lots in Single Family Residential Zoning Districts

Reason for Change: Reduce cost of single family home development meeting minor plat requirements.

Proposed Revision: Required to pay fee-in-lieu of detention and incorporate disconnected impervious cover and vegetated filter strips (TCEQ approved stormwater treatment method). Must show no impacts downstream.

Planning & Zoning Commission Recommendation:
• Remove Single-Family and reword to include standards:
  • Lots subdivided from the parent parcel do not exceed .5 acres;
  • Restricted by zoning or deed to 65% IC or less; and
  • Not allowed for the submittal of a series of plats of 4 lots or less with the intention of producing a tract that is greater than 4 lots
#2 & #4 Fee-in-Lieu of Detention & Exemption to Water Quality Treatment Requirement

For Platting 4 or Less Lots in Single Family Residential Zoning Districts

Original Parcel

Subdivided Parcel into 4 Lots or less
#3 Fee-in-Lieu of Detention and Water Quality
For Significantly Constrained Sites Outside Urban Stormwater Management District

Reason for Change: Provide flexibility for sites that have extreme difficulty meeting detention and water quality requirements on-site.

Proposed Revision: Provide Fee-In-Lieu option. Must show no impacts downstream.
Fee-in-Lieu of Detention/Water Quality
Water Quality Retrofit Sites

San Marcos River

Blanco River

Urban Stormwater Management District

San Marcos River Corridor

San Marcos River Protection Zone

Water Quality Retrofit Sites
Clarify Delineation of Water Quality and Buffer Zones

Reason for Change: Current measurement based on floodway which is no longer valid with new FEMA maps.

Proposed Revision: Limits based on natural geometry of waterway.
#6 Increase Sensitive Feature Protection Zone

Reason for Change: Code language results in very narrow protection zones on hill sides.

Proposed Revision: Widens protection zone
Incorporate Natural Channel Design for Water Quality Reclamations

Reason for Change: Waterways outside Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone can be channelized and rerouted to accommodate site development.

Proposed Revision: Reclamation must be accomplished in a way that preserves the natural function and aesthetic of original waterway.
## #8 Waiver of Geologic Assessment Within Transition Zone

**Reason for Change:**
- Geologic Assessments (GA’s) in the Transition Zone was a new requirement added in the 2018 code update.
- Some areas within Transition Zone have very low likelihood of having geologic features (Houston Black Clay areas near eastern edge of Transition Zone).

**Proposed Revision:**
- Not require GA’s in proposed Exemption Area (approximately 36% of Transition Zone) developed through collaboration with registered geologist.
- Code still requires investigation of geologic features discovered during construction in GA Exempted Area and allows for protection if determined to be sensitive.
Waiver of Geologic Assessment
Within Transition Zone

#8

Geological Assessment Exemption Zone
Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation

#10 Expire alcohol conditional use permits after three years

Section 2.8.3.5  Duration; Expiration; Suspension; Violation; Revocation

A. Duration.
1. A conditional use permit shall remain in effect until it expires, is suspended, or is revoked in accordance with Section 2.3.7.5A(1 - 4) as supplemented by Section 2.8.3.5.
2. Conditional Use Permits granted for on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, unless otherwise specified by the Planning and Zoning Commission, shall remain in effect for the duration of the State TABC (Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission) license or permit no longer than three years, or until the license or permit is canceled, revoked, or allowed to expire, or until one of the following conditions occurs, after which the dispensing of alcoholic beverages for on-premises consumption requires issuance of a new Conditional Use Permit:
Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation

#11

Limit appeal of denial to the applicant only

- Any property owner or tenant within notification area can appeal an approval

- *Only the applicant can appeal a denial*

- Individuals cannot demand a use in their neighborhood if the applicant is not interested in pursuing
Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation

#12  Require permit holders keep site in a clean & sanitary condition

Within the Central Business Area
Maintenance of sidewalk, gutters, parking lot, and all areas within 50 feet of exits

Outside the Central Business Area
Maintenance of sidewalk, gutters, parking lot, and all areas within 100 feet of exits
Proposed Revision:

• Noise level maximums now apply to any noise
• Noise in excess of the allowed max decibels does not have to continue for a period exceeding one minute
• Changed hours max decibels are allowed to 10 am-10 pm and 10 pm-10 am
• Max decibels of 63 as measured from single-family residential zoning or use
• Clarified noise measurement protocols
• Provides specific exemptions
Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation

#13

Update noise ordinance

Planning & Zoning Commission Recommendation:

- Change “The property shall not produce sound:” to “No activity on the property shall produce sound:”

- Remove A.3. “In excess of 63 decibels at any time as measured from within the property line of any single-family residential zoning or use.”

A motion was made, and received a second, that requested Council look into ways of installing continuous cloud streaming monitors, or other means of enforcement, for repeat offenders. During the discussion Commissioners expressed concerns with privacy and implementation. *The Motion was Withdrawn.*
Altaner Staff Recommendation:

- Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of any applicable plan adopted by City Council;

A motion was made, and received a second, to adopt the Housing Task Force Language: “meets affordability needs as defined in the Strategic Housing Action Plan.” During the discussion it was noted that Plan has not been adopted and that Housing Task Force amendments may be premature.

*The Motion Failed on a Roll Call Vote.*
Alternate Staff Recommendation:
b) Severely elongated (in excess of three to one (3:1) length to width ratio) lots shall not be permitted except for use as dedicated parkland lots, or for use as townhomes or zero lot line building type lots, or for infill development.
c) Townhome and lots, Zero Lot Line lots, and infill development lots may not exceed a six to one (6:1) length to width ratio.

Planning & Zoning Commission Recommendation:
• Denial of Amendment #15
Housing Task Force Recommendation

Allow Accessory Dwelling Unit parking in the second layer
Housing Task Force Recommendation

#17
Incorporate Tiny Homes into the Development Code

1. Modify definition of RV to include tiny homes,

2. Modify definition of manufactured home parks to include tiny homes, and

3. Adopt Appendix Q (International Residential Code)
Housing Task Force Recommendation

#17.1

Modify definition of RV to include tiny homes

Tiny Home on wheels
- Based on building code,
  Tiny Home = Travel Trailers/RVs
- Currently allowed in the same locations with the same standards as travel trailers and RVs
- For “short term stays”

Staff Recommendation:
- No Change
Tiny homes on foundations
- Based on building code, Tiny Home = Single Family
- Currently allowed in residential zoning districts as long as standards are met.

Staff Recommendation:
- Update Use Table – P&Z did not vote on this recommendation

Housing Task Force Recommendation

Modify definition of manufactured home parks to include tiny homes

Tiny Home Villages
- Multiple Units on a Single Lot – Currently allowed in Multifamily and Mobile Home Park (MHP)

Staff Recommendation:
- Define “Tiny Home”
- Add “Tiny Home Village” to MHP Zoning District
Housing Task Force Recommendation

#17.3

Adopt Appendix Q of the International Residential Code
Section 2.3.1.1 Application Processing

E. Pre-Development Meeting. An applicant is encouraged to request a pre-development meeting with the Responsible Official prior to filing an application. The Responsible Official shall have the authority to waive the pre-development meeting, if such application does not warrant a meeting, or if alternative measures have been taken to address concerns and/or questions that may arise out of the application. No application shall be accepted for filing at a pre-development meeting. A pre-development meeting is voluntary, and thus does not trigger any grandfathering rights or commence a review period.
Section 2.4.1.2 Application Requirements

A. An application for a text amendment to the Development Code shall be submitted in accordance with the universal application procedures in Section 2.3.1.1.

B. An application for a text amendment requires initial authorization by the City Council.

C. Text amendments initiated, requested, or directed by City Council do not require initial authorization.

D. The City Council shall consider the initial authorization of a text amendment and may reject the petition or direct further consideration of the application for text amendment in accordance with Section 2.4.1.3.
#20 Allow recommendation and approval of less intense zoning classification

The Planning and Zoning Commission may recommend approval or denial of the application for a zoning map amendment or, subject to the consent of the owner, such other less intense zoning district classification.

The City Council should consider the criteria in Section 2.5.1.4 and may vote to approve or deny the specific proposed zoning map amendment or, subject to the consent of the owner, such other less intense zoning district classification.

A motion was made, and received a second, to postpone to Phase 3. Code Phases and implementation of this amendment were discussed. *The Motion Failed on a Roll Call Vote.*
Section 2.5.5.5 Appeals
A. General Procedure. An applicant or other interested person within the four-hundred foot (400’) personal notification area may appeal a final decision of the Historic Preservation Commission on an application for a certificate of appropriateness to the Zoning Board of Adjustments within ten days of the Historic Preservation Commission’s action on the application, except for appeals pertaining to property owned by the City of San Marcos. Appeals pertaining to property owned by the City of San Marcos shall be made to the City Council within ten days of the Historic Preservation Commission’s action on the application.
#22 Exempt applicant from concept plat if they are prepared to submit a preliminary plat
A motion was made to postpone to Phase 3.

*There was no Second.*
Section 3.6.2.1 Block Perimeter
C. Block Measurement

A larger block perimeter may be permitted for HI zoned lots with a building that exceed 200,000 square feet. The block perimeter shall not exceed the lot area required to meet parking and landscaping provisions for the individual structure.
Align standards in Development Code to Transportation Master Plan
Create new CD-2.5 District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single Family 6 (SF-6)</th>
<th>Single Family 4.5 (SF-4.5)</th>
<th>Character District 2.5 (CD-2.5)</th>
<th>Character District 3 (CD-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (units per acre)</td>
<td>5.5 max.</td>
<td>7.5 max.</td>
<td>8 max.</td>
<td>10 max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Cover</td>
<td>50% max.</td>
<td>60% max.</td>
<td>60% max.</td>
<td>60% max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy Restrictions</td>
<td>Apply</td>
<td>Apply</td>
<td>Apply</td>
<td>Do Not Apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Types Permitted</td>
<td>House, ADU</td>
<td>House, ADU</td>
<td>House, ADU</td>
<td>House, ADU, Duplex, Cottage Court, Zero Lot Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height Max.</td>
<td>2 Stories (35 ft.)</td>
<td>2 Stories (35 ft.)</td>
<td>2 Stories (35 ft.)</td>
<td>2 Stories (35 ft.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A motion was made, and received a second, to postpone both #26 & #38 to Phase 3. The Motions Failed on Roll Call Votes.
Provide better definition for “house” and “cottage”

Staff Recommendation:
- Remove Cottage definition, Keep House
- Maintain entitlements with lot minimums
Before implementing any energy conservation measures to enhance the sustainability of a historic building, the existing energy-efficient characteristics of the building should be assessed. The key to a successful rehabilitation project is to identify and understand any lost original and existing energy-efficient aspects of the historic building, as well as to identify and understand its character-defining features to ensure they are preserved. The most sustainable building may be one that already exists. Thus, good preservation practice is often synonymous with sustainability. There are numerous treatments—traditional as well as new technological innovations—that may be used to upgrade a historic building to help it operate even more efficiently. Whether a historic building is rehabilitated for a new or a continuing use, it is important to utilize the building’s inherently-sustainable qualities as they were intended. It is equally important that they function effectively together with any new measures undertaken to further improve energy efficiency. The following guidelines offer specific guidance on how to make historic buildings more sustainable in a manner that will preserve their historic character.
Add new “Special Events Facility” Use

- Allow as a conditional use in FD, SF-R, N-CM, CD-2, and GC districts
- Site plan and floor plan required at time of CUP
- Minimum parcel size of 5 acres
- Type D transitional protective yard required on perimeter of property
- Parking lot screening along right-of-way required
- Permit holder cannot hold a TABC license
- Must comply with noise ordinance
- Single-family preservation buffer required
#30

Create a new Neighborhood Density District to allow moderate increase in density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Density District 3 (ND-3)</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density District 3.2 (ND-3.2)</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density District 3.5 (ND-3.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (units per acre)</td>
<td>10 max.</td>
<td>12 max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Cover</td>
<td>60% max.</td>
<td>65% max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy Restrictions</td>
<td>Apply</td>
<td>Apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Types Permitted</td>
<td>House, Zero Lot Line, ADU</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height Max.</td>
<td>2 Stories (35 ft.)</td>
<td>2 Stories (35 ft.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A motion was made, and received a second, to postpone to Phase 3.

The Motion Failed on a Roll Call Vote.

**Note: typos on redline**
Increase Votes Required for Comprehensive Plan Amendment (PSA)

Approval process will be updated to mirror language for zoning changes in Existing Neighborhoods:

B. Planning and Zoning Commission Action.
   3. **A recommendation for approval from the Planning and Zoning Commission requires an affirmative vote of six (6) members...**

C. City Council Action.
   4. **The approval of a Comprehensive Plan amendment requires an affirmative vote of five (5) members...**
Amend Table 4.1 to provide clarity

- Clearly note where a Preferred Scenario Amendment is required
- Corridors exist on the preferred scenario map, but have not been fully vetted for appropriate zoning change requests – remove Corridor and consider during comprehensive plan update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Classification</th>
<th>Open Space/Agricultural</th>
<th>Low Intensity</th>
<th>Existing Neighborhood</th>
<th>Medium or High Intensity Zone</th>
<th>Employment Center</th>
<th>Corridor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Residential</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Districts</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legend</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
<td>PSA Required</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NP = Not Preferred
C = Consider
A request for an increase in density which requires a Preferred Scenario Amendment may not be appropriate if only a moderate increase is being requested.
A request to change from Existing Neighborhood to Low or Medium / High Intensity would be accompanied by a request for Character Districts, which are currently not permitted in Existing Neighborhoods.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Open Space/Agricultural</th>
<th>Low Intensity</th>
<th>Medium or High Intensity Zone</th>
<th>Employment Center</th>
<th>Corridor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Residential</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Density Districts</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>See Section 4.1.2.4 - 4.1.2.5</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character Districts</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Districts</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**
- PSA = Not Allowed (PSA Required)
- NP = Not Preferred
- C = Consider
• **Staff Proposal #1:** to remove the “NP” allowance and require a PSA for Special Districts which include Heavy Commercial and Industrial in Existing Neighborhoods, and

• A change to Section 4.1.2.4 – 4.1.2.5 instead.
• Table 4.4 Classifies Conventional and Neighborhood Density Districts into Neighborhood Density Categories.
• Table 4.5 indicates how these categories are to be used in a zoning change request.

### Table 4.4 Neighborhood Density Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Density Categories</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density Districts</th>
<th>Conventional, Special, and Legacy Districts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Density</td>
<td>ND3</td>
<td>FD, AR, SF-R, MR, SF-6, SF4.5, DR, D, PH-ZL, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density</td>
<td>ND3.5</td>
<td>TH, MF-12, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>MU, MF-18, MF-24, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial / Mixed Use</td>
<td>N-MS</td>
<td>OP, NC, CC, GC, HC, LI, HI, MH, VMU, P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4.5 Neighborhood Density District / Existing Zoning Translation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Density Categories</th>
<th>Low Density</th>
<th>Medium Density</th>
<th>High Density</th>
<th>Commercial / Mixed Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ND-3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND-3.5</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND-4</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-MS</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Staff Proposal #2**: better define the districts that are within each Density Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Density Category</th>
<th>CURRENT CODE</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Density</td>
<td>FD, AR, SF-R MR, SF-6, SF-4.5, DR, D, PH-ZL, P</td>
<td>FD, AR, SF-R MR, SF-6, SF-4.5 <strong>DR, D, PH-ZL, P</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density</td>
<td>TH, MF-12, P</td>
<td><strong>DR, D, PH-ZL, TH, MF-12, P</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density</td>
<td>MU, MF-18, MF-24, P</td>
<td>MU, MF-18, MF-24, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial / Mixed Use</td>
<td>OP, NC, CC, GC, HC, LI, HI, MH, VMU, P</td>
<td>OP, NC, CC, GC, HC, LI, HI, MH, VMU, P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Staff Proposal #3:
Combine Tables 4.4 & 4.5 for a better user experience.

### Staff Proposal #4:
Increase the instances where changes are NP or require additional votes of P&Z and City Council (NP*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ND-3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C NP</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>NP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND-3.2</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>NP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND-3.5</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND-4</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-CM</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>NP*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Staff Proposal #5:** Require the Single Family Preservation Buffer, even when a zoning change is “Considered”

• **Staff Proposal #6:** include language that requires the Single Family Preservation Buffer in addition to any Small Area Plan

• **Staff Proposal #7:** Require additional informational meetings when there is a request for a Neighborhood Density District in and Existing Neighborhood.
**Staff Proposal Summary:**

1. Do not allow Special Districts in Existing Neighborhoods,
2. Reclassify Duplex and all Patio Home Zero Lot Line as Medium Density,
3. Combine Tables 4.4 & 4.5 for ease of use,
4. Increase the instances when additional votes are required for approval,
5. Require the Single Family Preservation Buffer for every zoning change in Existing Neighborhoods,
6. Require the Single Family Preservation Buffer in addition to any Small Area Plans
7. Require additional, informational, meetings – this would be in addition to the Neighborhood Presentation Meeting that is currently required.

A motion was made to postpone to Phase 3.

*There was no Second.*
Residential Height Compatibility
Limit height near single family residential

- Limits building height within 70 feet of single family residential zoning.
- Measured from Property Line.
• Add statement that the City prefers the use of Durable Building Materials.
#35 Require Conditional Use Permit for Accessory Dwelling Units

**Staff Alternate Proposal Summary:**

- Maintain “Permitted” status in higher density districts that allow a mixture of housing types: ND-4, N-CM, CD-4, CD-5, & CD-5D.

- Allow as “Limited” in medium density districts that allow a mixture of housing types and on large lot residential: FD, SF-R, ND-3.5, CD-2, CD-2.5, & CD-3
  - Include additional standards when limited to require:
    1) A single utility meter, & 2) separate trash & recycle bins

- Change to “Conditional” in low density single family districts: SF-6, SF-4.5, ND-3, & ND-3.2

A motion was made, and received a second, to postpone to Phase 3. *The Motion Failed on a Roll Call Vote.*
#36 & #37  Remove Parking Exemptions for Multi-Family 
Specifically in Downtown

**On Street Parking**

- Shall not be counted toward Multifamily (including Student Housing) in CD-5D.

**Parking Exemptions Specific to CD-5 and CD-5D**

- ... properties with 10-4 or fewer units are exempt from the minimum parking requirements ...
- ... properties with 5–10 units may be exempt ... with approval of a Conditional Use Permit by City Council ...

Note: Remote parking with an approved agreement is an available option.

Motions were made to postpone both #36 & #37 to Phase 3.  
*There was no Second.*
### #38.2 Amend Character Districts to limit lot width for Apartments in CD-5D

**SECTION 4.4.3.6 CHARACTER DISTRICT-5 DOWNTOWN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Lot Area</th>
<th>Lot Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Townhouse</td>
<td>1,500 sq. ft. min.</td>
<td>15 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment</td>
<td>2,000 sq. ft. min.</td>
<td>20 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live/Work</td>
<td>1,100 sq. ft. min.</td>
<td>15 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use Shopfront</td>
<td>2,000 sq. ft. min.</td>
<td>20 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Building</td>
<td>2,000 sq. ft. min.</td>
<td>20 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*340 ft. max.*
Recommendation

Staff recommended **approval** as presented.

The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended, with a 6-1 vote, **approval** with the following amendments:

**Item #1:** Require an informational meeting with Planning & Zoning Commission for Watershed Protection Plans that are 40 acres or more.

**Item #2:** Provide standards for exemption including lot size and impervious cover limits, and do not allow exemption for 4 lots or less with the intention of creating a development more than 4 lots in the future.

**Item #4:** Provide standards for exemption including lot size and impervious cover limits.

**Item #13:** Clarify applicability and remove specific single family specific regulation.

**Item #15:** Denial of 6:1 for infill development.
Downtown Boundaries Map
For Reference Only
### Option for Updated Use Table re: Tiny Homes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Land Use</th>
<th>Conventional Residential</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density Districts</th>
<th>Character districts</th>
<th>Special Districts</th>
<th>Use Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>SF-R</td>
<td>SF-6</td>
<td>SF-4.5</td>
<td>ND-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Detached</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiny Home</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufactured Home / Tiny Home</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cover Memo

To: City Council
From: Planning & Development Services-Amanda Hernandez, Development Services Manager
Date: July 27, 2020
Re: Proposed Amendments to the San Marcos Land Development Code:

1. City Engineering Staff Recommendations
2. Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee, Housing Task Force, and Historic Preservation Commission Recommendations
3. Additional Amendments Identified by Staff Since June 2019 Workshop
4. Developer Request for Special Events Facility Use
5. Policy Items Expedited at the Request of Council

Summary and Background
In April 2018 the City of San Marcos adopted the San Marcos Development Code, which was developed through the multi-year CODE SMTX process. Beginning in March 2019, Planning & Development staff began conducting public outreach in support of the annual Land Development Code update. Staff provided a request form on the City’s website where interested parties could submit their proposed change and the purpose behind their request. Staff shared the online request form and code update schedule via an initial e-mail notification and also in person at the regular meetings of the Downtown Association, Historic Preservation Commission, Neighborhood Commission, Parks Board, and Planning and Zoning Commission. After an additional reminder e-mail to those on the City’s notification list, the request form was closed on May 7th.

A joint workshop between the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council was held in June 2019. The purpose of the workshop was to allow City Council and the Planning and Zoning Commission the opportunity to review the proposed changes and provide staff direction on which requests should be reviewed and brought back with a recommendation. At that time, the Commission and Council identified items that they would like staff to analyze and bring back for further discussion and items that would not be considered as part of this annual update. For specific amendments, the Commission and Council also provided additional direction and items that should be considered in staff’s analysis.

In November 2019 the Planning and Zoning Commission reviewed and provided a recommendation on proposed Phase 1 amendments which consisted of typos and technical errors, policy items that the joint committee directed be expedited at the June 2019 workshop, and amendments related to House Bills approved during the 2019 Legislative Session. These amendments were reviewed at the December 3rd City Council meeting and approved upon second reading at the December 17th City Council meeting.

At this time, staff is presenting Phase 2 amendments proposed by City Staff and several City Boards and Commissions. These amendments received initial approval from City Council on March 3, 2020. At their regular meeting on May 12, 2020, the Planning and Zoning Commission received a staff
presentation and held a public hearing on Phase 2 amendments. At their regular meeting on June 9, 2020 they recommended approval of the amendments with some changes noted in the presentation and redline draft of the amendments.

Criteria for Approval
Section 2.4.1.4 Criteria for Approval states that review and recommendations on text amendments to the Development Code should consider the below criteria. The following list is not all-inclusive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Criteria for Approval (Sec. 2.4.1.4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consistent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment corrects an error or meets the challenge of some changing condition, trend or fact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment is in response to changes in state law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment is generally consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other adopted plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment does not conflict with any specific policy or action item of the Comprehensive Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment is generally consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Development Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment constitutes a benefit to the City as a whole and is not solely for the good or benefit of a particular landowner or owners at a particular point in time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment is not tied solely to a particular tract or development proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment significantly impacts the natural environment, including air, water, noise, stormwater management, and wildlife vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>The proposed text amendment significantly impacts existing conforming development patterns, standards, or zoning regulations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments
Exhibit A – Recommendations Table
Exhibit B – Redlined Code
Exhibit C – Windemere Ranch Request Letter
Exhibit D – Resolution 2019-03RR
Exhibit E – Appendix Q of the International Residential Code
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>2.6.1.1.D, 2.6.1.4</td>
<td>Make Qualified Watershed Protection Plans administrative</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. <strong>Staff recommends the edits as presented to include administrative approval capability for Qualified Watershed Protection Plans. P&amp;Z recommended an amendment that would require an informational meeting with the Commission Watershed Protection Plans for projects over 40 acres.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>3.9.1.1.G</td>
<td>Add exception to detention/retention requirement for residential plats of 4 lots or less. Require approved drainage analysis and payment-in-lieu to stormwater management fund.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. <strong>Staff recommends the edits as presented to include fee-in-lieu option for minor plats demonstrating no adverse impacts. P&amp;Z recommended providing standards for exemption including lot size and impervious cover limits, and to not allow exemption for 4 lots with the intention of creating more than 4 lots in the future.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.1.2.B</td>
<td>Add exception to detention and/or water quality requirements for significantly constrained sites outside the Urban Stormwater Management District.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. <strong>Staff recommends the edits as presented to include waiver option when payment into stormwater management fund is made, and no adverse impacts have been demonstrated through a drainage analysis.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.1.1.D</td>
<td>Add exception to water quality requirements for residential plats of 4 lots or less.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. <strong>Staff recommends the edits as presented to waive water quality requirements for residential plats of four lots are less that are served by an existing street. P&amp;Z recomended providing standards for exemption including lot size and impervious cover limits to mirror Item #2 changes.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.2.2.1, 6.2.2.2</td>
<td>Clarify how WQZ and Buffer Zones are delineated now that entire floodplain is considered floodway</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. <strong>Staff recommends the edits as presented to clarify how the water quality zone and buffer zone are determined.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.2.2.3.C</td>
<td>Modify sensitive feature protection zone to include 25 ft buffer around feature and additional buffer in upstream direction.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to include a buffer around the feature and additional buffer in upstream direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.2.3.2</td>
<td>Require reclamation of water quality zones to incorporate natural channel design and shape.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to require the incorporation of natural channel function, aesthetics, and design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.3.2.1.C</td>
<td>Add waiver of geological assessment requirement for sites that do not warrant assessment</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to allow waivers from the Responsible Official for sites that do not warrant assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Engineering Department Recommendation</td>
<td>6.2.3.5</td>
<td>Clarify mitigation requirements within water quality and buffer zones</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to clarify mitigation requirements within water quality and buffer zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation</td>
<td>2.8.3.5</td>
<td>Expire conditional use permits after 3 years. (no longer allow lifetime approvals)</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Alcohol Coniditonal Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented which would require all Alcohol CUP holders to renew their permit, at a minimum, every three years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation</td>
<td>2.8.3.6</td>
<td>Limit appeal eligibility to applicant only. (does not remove citizen appeal eligibility)</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Alcohol Coniditonal Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented which would limit appeal eligibility of those within the 400' buffer zone to decisions of approval only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation</td>
<td>5.1.5.5</td>
<td>Require all permit holders to keep site in clean and sanitary condition.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Alcohol Coniditonal Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented which would require all Alchol CUP holders to keep their site in a clean and sanitary condition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 13     | Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation | 7.4.2.1      | Update noise ordinance to include enforcement details and procedure.                | This recommendation from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.  
 **Staff recommends the edits as presented which would clarify applicability, measurement protocols, the hours max decibils are allowed, the max decibels allowed from single-family residential zoning or uses, and provide specific instances where exemptions are allowed. P&Z recommended changes to provide clarity and remove single family specific regulations.** |
| 14     | Housing Task Force Recommendation                        | 2.5.1.4      | Add “meets affordability needs as defined in the Strategic Housing Action Plan” as rezone evaluation criteria. | This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.  
 **Staff recommends the edits as presented which would require staff and decision makers to consider alignment with all applicable City Council adopted plans as a criteria for approval when considering rezones.** |
| 15     | Housing Task Force Recommendation                        | 3.6.3.1      | Exempt smaller lot and infill development from the Lot Width to Depth requirements  | This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.  
 **Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented. This amendment would exempt infill development only (not small lot development) from the 3:1 lot width to depth requirement. The amendment as proposed would not allow these lots to exceed a 6:1 width to depth ratio. P&Z Recommended Denial of this amendment.** |
| 16     | Housing Task Force Recommendation                        | 4.4.6.1      | Allow ADU parking in second layer of lot.                                           | This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.  
 **Staff recommends the edits as presented which would allow the additional parking required for Accessory Dwelling Units in the second, as well as third layer of the lot.** |
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 17     | Housing Task Force Recommendation | Chapter 7, Article 6 San Marcos Code of Ordinances, Chapter 14, Buildings and Building Regulations | Modify definition of RV and manufactured home parks to include tiny homes. Adopt Appendix Q of 2018 building code | This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.  
Staff recommends the edits as presented which would clarify that 1) tiny homes (built to building code) are allowed in Manufactured Home zoning districts and should follow Manufacture Home park standards, and 2) that tiny home construction and inspections shall meet requirements in Appendix Q of the International Residential Code.  
Staff recommends consideration of tiny home specific standards and policy decisions following the update and adoption of the San Marcos Comprehensive Plan. |
| 18     | Predevelopment Meetings | 2.3.1.1 (D) | Make predevelopment meetings mandatory. Provide Responsible Official with authority to waive if application does not warrant a meeting. | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  
Staff recommends the amendment as presented to require predevelopment meetings unless waived by the Responsible Official. |
| 19     | Text Amendments | 2.4.1.2 (B) | Remove requirement for initial authorization from City Council for amendments initiated, requested, or directed by City Council. | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  
Staff recommends the amendment as presented to remove requirement for initial authorization from City Council when analysis and recommendation on amendment is requested or directed by Council. |
| 20     | Zoning Procedures | 2.5.1.2-2.5.1.3 | Allow Planning & Zoning Commission to recommend approval of a less intense zoning district classification. Allow City Council to approve a less intense zoning district classification. | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  
Staff recommends the amendment as presented to allow Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council to recommend and approve a less intense zoning district classification. |
| 21     | Certificates of Appropriateness | 2.5.5.5 | Make City Council (not ZBOA) the appellate body for City owned properties. | This amendment was reviewed by City Council and Planning & Zoning Commission at June 5, 2019 Workshop with the recommendation to staff to bring forward.  
Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented. This amendment would designate City Council as the appellate body for City owned properties. |
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Concept Plats</td>
<td>3.2.1.1</td>
<td>Exempt applicant from requirement for Concept Plat when applicant is ready to submit a Preliminary Plat</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as presented to remove requirement for a Concept Plat when the applicant is prepared to submit a preliminary plat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Block Perimeter</td>
<td>3.6.2.1</td>
<td>Increase maximum block perimeter in ETJ from 3,000 feet to 5,000 feet.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as increase the maximum block perimeter in the ETJ from 3,000 feet to 5,000 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Block Perimeter</td>
<td>3.6.2.1</td>
<td>Add waiver/exception to block perimeter requirement in Heavy Industrial districts.</td>
<td>This amendment was reviewed by City Council and Planning &amp; Zoning Commission at June 5, 2019 Workshop with the recommendation to staff to bring forward. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to allow for an increased block perimeter in HI districts in order to accommodate facilities that by their nature, are larger than general commercial facilities. Staff recommends not allowing the perimeter to exceed any more than what is needed for the individual structure and the required parking and landscaping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>3.7.2.2- 3.7.2.6</td>
<td>Align right-of-way standards in Development Code to recommendations appendix of Transportation Master Plan.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendments as presented to ensure the dimensional standards for ROW in the Development Code match the Transportation Master Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Zoning</td>
<td>4.4.3.3</td>
<td>Include occupancy restrictions in CD-3 zoning.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as presented to apply occupancy restrictions in CD-3 zoning districts which are intended for low density residential.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 27     | Building Types            | 4.2.1.1 (Update 4.4.1.1, 4.4.3.7 to reflect updated definition)              | Provide better definition for "house" and "cottage."                                | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  

**Staff recommends the amendments as presented to clarify that since a "house" and "cottage" building type are identical and the base standards of the zoning district determine the structure size and scale, only one building type is necessary.**  

Resolution 2019-03RR was approved by Council in December 2019. In February 2020, Council directed staff to bring forward amendments during the current update rather than as a separate agenda item.  

**Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented in order to include a purpose statement in the Sustainability Guidelines in Historic Districts section of the Design Manual.**  

This amendment was requested by a developer in January 2020.  

**Staff recommends consideration of the amendments as presented in order to facilitate this new use city-wide.**  

City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session.  

**Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.**  

City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session.  

**Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.**  

City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session.  

**Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would require additional votes and additional meetings for requests in existing neighborhoods.**  

The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session.  

**Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to limit height within a certain distance of a single family zoning district.** |
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Durable Building Materials</td>
<td>4.3.5.1.7</td>
<td>Include a statement that the City prefers the use of Durable Building Materials</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Accessory Dwelling Units</td>
<td>5.1.1.2 5.1.3.1</td>
<td>Change from by-right to conditional use in all districts</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would require a CUP in low density, single-family districts.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>7.1.2.1</td>
<td>On street parking should not count towards Multifamily parking requirement in CD-5D districts</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>7.1.2.2.B.1</td>
<td>Remove exemption for projects of 10 or fewer units in CD-5 and CD-5D zones</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Character District</td>
<td>4.4.3.3</td>
<td>Add a Character District (CD) between 2 and 3 that allows single family with occupancy restrictions compatible with other CDs</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 17th regular meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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To: City Council

From: Planning & Development Services-Amanda Hernandez, Development Services Manager

Date: July 27, 2020

Re: Proposed Amendments to the San Marcos Land Development Code:

1. City Engineering Staff Recommendations
2. Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee, Housing Task Force
3. Additional Amendments Identified by Staff Since June 2019 Workshop
4. Developer Request for Special Events Facility Use
5. Additional Amendments Expedited at the Request of Council

This memo has been updated to reflect the recommendations of Planning & Zoning Commission at their regular meeting on June 9th, 2020. Sections that were changed have been highlighted with a yellow box and a note describing the change recommended by the Commission.

Engineering Department Recommendations

CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

ARTICLE 6: WATERSHED PROTECTION PLANS

DIVISION 1: APPROVAL AND APPLICATION PROCESS

Section 2.6.1.1 Purpose, Applicability, Exceptions and Effect

D. Applicability of a Qualified Watershed Protection Plan 1 or 2. A qualified watershed protection plan is required when mitigation plans to replace water quality benefits lost due to increases in impervious cover within the buffer zone and reclamation of water quality and/or buffer zones vary from the requirements established in Chapter 6 or as determined by the responsible official, any of the following requests are made:

1. A request for an increase in impervious cover requiring a mitigation plan;
2. A request for reclamation of land in the 100-year floodplain or within a water quality zone or buffer zone;
3. The development of twenty (20) acres or more of land within the 100-year floodplain.

Section 2.6.1.3 Approval Process

A. Responsible Official Action. The Engineering Director is the Responsible Official for watershed protection plans and qualified watershed protection plans.

1. The Responsible Official shall initially decide an application for a watershed protection plan phase 1 or 2 based on the criteria in Section 2.6.1.4.
2. The Responsible Official shall schedule an informational meeting with members of the Planning & Zoning Commission upon submission of a watershed protection plan that is 40 acres or more.
3. The Responsible Official may attach such conditions to approval of a watershed protection plan phase 1 or 2 as are necessary to assure that the plan meets water quality standards, based on the recommendation of the Engineering Director, a qualified geologist, or a Texas-licensed professional engineer.

The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended that watershed protection plans for any development over 40 acres be presented to members as an informational meeting.
Section 2.6.1.4  Criteria for Approval
The following criteria shall be used to determine whether the application for a watershed protection plan or a qualified watershed protection plan shall be approved, approved with conditions, or denied.

A. Edwards Aquifer Zones - Factors. Where land subject to the plan lies in whole or in part within the Edwards Aquifer recharge or transition zones:
   1. Whether the plan is consistent with approved legislative applications for the land subject to the plan;
   2. Whether the plan meets the standards in Chapter 6 *(except as to the components of a mitigation plan for a qualified watershed protection plan that vary from Chapter 6)* and Chapter 3, Article 9;
   3. Whether any proposed mitigation plan or enhanced geological assessment offsets the impacts to water quality resulting from increased development within a buffer zone;
   4. Whether any proposed increase of impervious cover is warranted beyond that otherwise allowed by right for the land within the plan area; and
   5. Whether the plan is consistent with any proposed clustering or development transfers outside the plan area.

B. Other Water Quality Zones - Factors. Where land subject to the plan lies in whole or in part within a floodplain, water quality, or buffer zone located outside the Edwards Aquifer recharge or transition zones:
   1. Whether the plan is consistent with approved legislative applications for the land subject to the plan;
   2. Whether the plan meets the standards in Chapter 6 *(except as to the components of a mitigation plan for a qualified watershed protection plan that vary from Chapter 6)* and Chapter 3 Article 9 and/or the specific criteria in Chapter 6;
   3. Whether any proposed mitigation plan offsets the impacts to water quality resulting from increased development within a buffer zone or reclamation of water quality and/or buffer zone; and
   4. Whether the plan is consistent with any proposed clustering or development transfers outside the plan area.

C. Reclaimed Land From Floodplain - Factors. For developments where reclamation of land within the 100-year floodplain is proposed:
   1. Whether the reclamation concept plat (which is an element of watershed protection plans and qualified watershed protection plans when reclamation is proposed) is consistent with approved legislative applications for the land subject to the plan, including expressly any master drainage plan elements applicable to the land;
   2. Whether the reclamation concept plat meets the general standards in Chapter 6 *(except as to the components of a mitigation plans for a qualified watershed protection plan that vary from Chapter 6)*; Chapter 3, Article 9; and the City’s Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance, and the specific criteria in Chapter 6, and;
   3. Whether any adverse impacts have been appropriately mitigated.

CHAPTER 3. SUBDIVISIONS

ARTICLE 9: STORMWATER COLLECTION AND DRAINAGE SYSTEMS

DIVISION 1: IN GENERAL

Section 3.9.1.1  Flood Control Requirements

G. Waiver of Detention/Retention.
   1. Detention/retention may be waived for the following non-residential small site permits if no adverse impacts are demonstrated through drainage analysis and a payment-in-lieu is made into the stormwater management fund in accordance with Section 6.1.1.3.
      a. non-residential small site permits,
      b. developments within High Intensity Zones, and
      c. plats of 4 lots or less in single family residential zoning districts where lots front and are served by an existing street.

The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended the following revision for 1.c.

   c. plats of 4 lots or less where the lots subdivided from the parent parcel do not exceed 0.5 acres each, are restricted by zoning or deed to 65% impervious cover or less, and are served by and existing street. An exemption is not allowed for the submittal of a series of plats of 4 lots or less with the intention of producing a tract that is greater than 4 lots.
CHAPTER 6. ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 1: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

DIVISION 1: GENERAL

Section 6.1.1.2 Urban Stormwater Management District

B. Standards. Properties located within the urban stormwater management district established on the map above are eligible for waivers from requirements under Section 3.9.1.1(F) and Section 6.1.4.1 when the following standards are met:

a. No adverse impacts are demonstrated through drainage analysis; and

b. A payment is made into the stormwater management fund in accordance with Section 6.1.1.3.

C. Waiver for Property Outside of the District. Properties located outside the urban stormwater management district that are significantly constrained may be eligible for waivers from requirements under Section 3.9.1.1(F) and Section 6.1.4.1 with the approval of the Responsible Official and when the standards under Section 6.1.1.2(B) are met.

DIVISION 1: POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Section 6.1.4.1 Stormwater Quality and Stream Protection

D. Exceptions to stormwater quality and stream protection volume requirements include are allowed under the following conditions provided that disconnected impervious cover and treatment through vegetative filter strips or similar means is included:

1. Development applications proposing solely the construction or expansion of a single-family home as long as the development includes disconnected impervious cover and provides treatment through vegetative filter strips or similar means.

2. Plats of 4 lots or less in single family residential zoning districts where lots front and are served by an existing street. An exemption is not allowed for the submittal of a series of plats of 4 lots or less with the intention of producing a tract that is greater than 4 lots.

The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended the following revision for D.2.

2. Plats of 4 lots or less where the lots subdivided from the parent parcel do not exceed 0.5 acres each, are restricted by zoning or deed to 65% impervious cover or less, and are served by and existing street. An exemption is not allowed for the submittal of a series of plats of 4 lots or less with the intention of producing a tract that is greater than 4 lots.
ARTICLE 2: ENHANCED PROTECTION ZONES

DIVISION 2: ZONE DESIGNATION

Section 6.2.2.1 Water Quality Zones

A. A water quality zone shall be established for each waterway. The area of the Water quality zone have been predetermined by the City for certain waterways. A map of such predetermined water quality zones is on file with the City’s Planning and Development Services Department and is available upon request. For waterways not associated with a predetermined water quality zone by the City, the following options are available shall be determined as follows:

1. FEMA-mapped Option. For any waterway with a FEMA-defined floodway, a water quality zone shall be established 100 feet in width, measured from the boundary of the defined floodway on each side of the waterway if located outside the EARZ, or as all land within a distance of 100 feet from a bank of the San Marcos River or a side channel that returns to the main channel, whichever is greater, but shall not exceed the width of the 100-year floodplain. For any waterway with a FEMA-mapped detailed study floodplain, the area of the 100-year floodplain shall be the water quality zone if located within the EARZ.

2. Waterway Centerline Offset Option Non-FEMA-mapped Option 1
   a. Sub-minor Waterways. Waterways draining five or more acres but less than 50 acres but, excluding roadside swales, shall have a minimum Water Quality Zone width of 25 feet on each side of the Waterway centerline. These are established within the EARZ, Transition Zone, and Contributing Zone within the Transition Zone only.
   b. Minor Waterways. Waterways draining 50 or more acres but less than 250 acres shall have a minimum water quality zone width of 50 feet on each side of the waterway centerline.
   c. Intermediate Waterways. Waterways draining 250 or more acres but less than 1000 acres shall have a minimum water quality zone width of 100 feet on each side of the waterway centerline.
   d. Major Waterways. Waterways draining more than 1000 acres shall have a minimum water quality zone width of 200 feet on each side of the waterway centerline.

3. Floodplain Study Option Non-FEMA-mapped or floodway defined Option 2
   a. The water quality zone shall be defined as the 100-year floodplain boundary based on fully developed watershed paralleling each side of the waterway. The 100-year floodplain shall be based on modeling approaches as approved by the Responsible Official.

Section 6.2.2.2 Buffer Zones

A. A buffer zone shall be established for each waterway. Buffer zones have been predetermined by the City for certain waterways. A map of such buffer zones is on file with the City’s Planning and Development Services Department and is available upon request. For waterways not associated with a predetermined buffer zone by the City, the following options are available as follows:

1. FEMA Mapped Option. For any waterway with a FEMA-defined floodway outside the EARZ or FEMA-mapped detailed study floodplain inside the EARZ, a buffer zone shall be established 100 feet in width, measured from the outer boundary of the water quality zone established in Section 6.2.2.1, on each side of the waterway. The combined width of the water quality zone and the buffer zone shall not exceed the width of the 100-year floodplain if located outside the EARZ.

2. Non FEMA Mapped Option. For applicable waterways that do not have floodways officially mapped by FEMA, a buffer zone shall be established 25 feet in width for sub-minor waterways, 50 feet in width for a minor waterway and 100 feet in width for intermediate and major waterways, measured from the outer boundary of the water quality zone established in Section 6.2.2.1, on each side of the waterway. The combined width of the water quality zone and buffer zone shall not exceed the width of the 100-year floodplain based on a detailed study if located outside of the EARZ.

Section 6.2.2.3 Sensitive Feature Protection Zones

C. Enhanced topographic information. If an applicant submits enhanced topographic information for a site, with contour intervals of two feet or less, the sensitive feature protection zone shall extend 25 feet around the perimeter of the sensitive feature and include the area within the following distance from the perimeter of a sensitive feature that is identified on the enhanced topographic survey as draining towards the feature-perimeter around the feature:

1. For a minor recharge feature, 50 feet.
2. For a moderate recharge feature, 125 feet.
3. For a major recharge feature, 275 feet.
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DIVISION 3: IMPERVIOUS COVER AND DEVELOPMENT LIMITATIONS WITHIN WATER QUALITY
AND BUFFER ZONES

Section 6.2.3.2 Water Quality and Buffer Zones outside the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone
A. Water Quality Zone. No impervious cover is allowed in a water quality zone except for those cases listed in Section 6.2.3.5(B).
B. San Marcos River Corridor (SMRC). The maximum impervious cover within the SMRC is 30%. Impervious cover cannot be increased with mitigation in the SMRC.
C. Buffer Zones. The maximum impervious cover in buffer zones is 30%. Impervious cover may be increased with mitigation based on the slope table below.
D. Steep Slopes. The maximum impervious cover in buffer zones and the San Marcos River Corridor is further restricted when steep slopes are present in accordance with the table below.
E. Reclamation. Reclamation of a water quality and/or buffer zone shall require mitigation to replace lost water quality benefits and be accomplished in a way that preserves natural channel function and aesthetics.

ARTICLE 3: DEVELOPMENT RELATED TO THE EDWARDS AQUIFER

DIVISION 2: DEVELOPMENT DUTIES

Section 6.3.2.1 Duties in Undertaking Development Over Aquifer
C. Geological Assessments. All watershed protection plans (Phase 1) for developments in the recharge zone, transition zone, and contributing zone within the transition zone and site preparation permit for uses must be accompanied by a geologic assessment of the entire site prepared by a qualified geologist. The assessment must be based on 50-foot Transects across the Site, and must contain all information required for Geologic Assessments under the TCEQ Edwards Aquifer rules. The assessment must identify all sensitive features on the site, and for each sensitive feature, must state whether it is a major recharge feature, moderate recharge feature, or minor recharge feature. A waiver for a geological assessment for sites that do not warrant an assessment within the transition zone may be obtained from the Responsible Official if the property is located within the Geologic Assessment Exemption Zone. A map of such area is on file with the City’s Planning and Development Services Department and is available upon request.

Section 6.2.3.5 Mitigation and Exceptions
A. Mitigation Plan. Impervious cover limitations may be exceeded in a buffer zone only for land with a gradient of less than 15 percent based upon approval of a mitigation plan demonstrating that the water quality benefits of the impervious cover within the buffer zone can be achieved through utilization of water pollution abatement control facilities that incorporate best management practices for the entire development site. No impervious cover may be transferred to a buffer zone that exceeds the requirements of this Section. The following is permissible with adequate mitigation that replaces lost water quality benefits:

1. Impervious cover limitations may be exceeded in a buffer zone for land with a gradient of less than 15 percent outside the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and 20 percent within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone; and
2. Water quality and/or buffer zones may be reclaimed. Mitigation shall consist of meeting a Total Suspended Solid (TSS) removal requirement or increase in TSS removal requirement for the site or portion of the site as determined adequate by the Responsible Official. Mitigation plans not able to achieve TSS removal requirements must follow the qualified watershed protection plan process.
CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES
ARTICLE 8: RELIEF PROCEDURES
DIVISION 3: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
Section 2.8.3.5 Duration; Expiration; Suspension; Violation; Revocation

A. Duration.

1. A conditional use permit shall remain in effect until it expires, is suspended, or is revoked in accordance with Section 2.3.7.5A(1 - 4) as supplemented by Section 2.8.3.5.

2. Conditional Use Permits granted for on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, unless otherwise specified by the Planning and Zoning Commission, shall remain in effect for the duration of the State TABC (Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission) license or permit no longer than three years, or until the license or permit is canceled, revoked, or allowed to expire, or until one of the following conditions occurs, after which the dispensing of alcoholic beverages for on-premises consumption requires issuance of a new Conditional Use Permit:
   a. The State TABC license or permit is reissued under a different [license or] permit holder’s name.
   b. The Conditional Use Permit is forfeited, suspended, or revoked in accordance with Section 2.3.7.1.
   c. There is a significant change in the name of the establishment, or any physical or operational change in the business that increases off-site impacts to surrounding properties.

Section 2.8.3.6 Appeals

A. The applicant or other person within the personal notification area may appeal the decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission to grant or deny a permit to the City Council in accordance with Section 2.8.1.1.

B. Any tenant or property owner within the personal notification area may appeal the decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission to grant a permit in accordance with Section 2.8.1.1.

C. The Council shall apply the criteria in Section 2.8.3.4 in deciding whether the Planning and Zoning Commission’s action should be upheld, modified or reversed.

D. A super-majority vote in accordance with Section 2.2.4.2 shall be required to reverse a decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission.
CHAPTER 5. USE REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 1: ALLOWABLE USES

DIVISION 5: COMMERCIAL

Section 5.1.5.B.2.b Restaurant/Bar, Use Standards, Downtown CBA Boundary

b. Downtown CBA Boundary. Where an eating establishment including the sale of alcohol is located in the downtown CBA boundary the following additional standards apply:

1. Permits for an eating establishment including alcohol sales in the downtown CBA boundary are valid for three years from date of issuance. A renewal permit for a current permit holder may be administratively issued under Sec.2.8.3.7.

2. The business must have a kitchen and food storage facilities of sufficient size to enable food preparation. The kitchen must be equipped with, and must utilize, a commercial grill, griddle, fryer, oven, or similar heavy food preparation equipment.

3. The business must serve meals to customers during at least two meal periods each day the business is open. A meal must consist of at least one entree, such as a meat serving, a pasta dish, pizza, a sandwich or similar food in a serving that serves as a main course for a meal. At least three entrees must be available during each meal period. A meal period means a period of at least four hours.

4. The business must be used, maintained, advertised and held out to the public as a place where meals are prepared and served.

5. The number of active restaurant permits in the central business area zoning district shall not exceed 25. If there are 25 active restaurant permits, any further applications for restaurant permits in the district shall be placed on a waiting list and individually referred to the commission for consideration within 45 days, in the same order as submitted, when the number of restaurant permits is less than 25.

6. The business shall be responsible for maintaining the sidewalk, gutters, parking lot, all areas within 50 feet of any exit, and all areas of the permitted property in a clean and sanitary condition, free from litter and refuse at all times.

Section 5.1.5.E. Restaurant/Bar, On Premise Consumption of Alcohol, Use Standards

E. On Premise Consumption of Alcohol

1. Defined. An establishment that serves alcohol for on premise consumption.

2. Use Standards. Where on-premise consumption of alcohol is a conditional use the following standards apply:

a. Permit. The establishment must hold for the premises a valid conditional use permit issued and effective under Section 2.8.3.1 and must be in compliance with all conditions of such permit.

b. Noise. The activities of the establishment selling alcoholic beverages for on-premises consumption shall not produce noise levels in excess of those described in Section 7.4.2.1 so as to not interfere with the reasonable use and enjoyment of adjacent property or public areas.

c. Protective Yard. The establishment shall be screened with a type A/B protective yard under Section 7.2.2.1.

d. Cleanliness. The business shall be responsible for maintaining the sidewalk, gutters, parking lot, all areas within 100 feet of any exit, and all areas of the permitted property in a clean and sanitary condition, free from litter and refuse at all times.
CHAPTER 7. SUPPLEMENTAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

ARTICLE 4: PUBLIC HEALTH RELATED PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

DIVISION 2: STANDARDS

Section 7.4.2.1 Noise

A. Noise Level Maximums. Sound equipment at a business shall not be operated so that it produces sound:

1. In excess of 85 decibels for a period exceeding one minute between the hours of 11:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., as measured at the property line of the business or beyond.

2. In excess of 75 decibels for a period exceeding one minute between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 11:00 p.m., as measured at the property line of the business or beyond.

3. In excess of 63 decibels at any time as measured from within the property line of any single-family residential zoning or use.

Planning & Zoning Commission recommended changing Section 7.4.2.1.A. from “The property shall not produce sound” to “No activity on the property shall produce sound.”

The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended removal of Section 7.4.2.1.A.3

B. Noise Measurements. Measurement of noise shall be made with a sound level meter using the “A” weighting network as specified by the American National Standards Institute.

1. A police officer taking a noise measurement may take the measurement from either the closest public right-of-way to the offending residence or business, or with the consent of a complainant, may take the measurement from the property line of the complainant.

2. Any sound that when measured at the nearest public right-of-way to the offending residence or business exceeds the dB(a) levels set forth in this section shall be prima facie evidence of sound nuisance which unreasonably disturbs, injures, or endangers the comfort, repose, health, peace, or safety of the others within the limits of the city in violation.

3. Method of sound measurement. Whenever portions of this chapter prohibit noise over a certain decibel limit, measurement of said noise shall be made with a decibel meter chosen by the chief of police which meets the standards prescribed by the American National Standards Institute at the time the device was purchased. The instruments shall be maintained in calibration and good working order. Calibration corrections shall be employed in meeting the response specifications prior to every sampling of noise. Measurements recorded shall be taken so as to provide an accurate representation of the noise being measured. Noise measurements shall be a minimum of 30 seconds in duration. The microphone shall be positioned so as not to create any unnatural enhancement or diminution of the measured noise. A windscreen for the microphone shall be used. Violations will be determined based on the highest registered reading in the measurement period. All measurement levels will be inclusive of any ambient noise that exists at the time of the measurement.

C. Exemptions. The following uses and activities shall be exempt from the noise level regulations herein specified.

1. Noises not directly under control of the property user.

2. The sound produced by operating or permitting the operation of any mechanically powered saw, drill, sander, router, grinder, lawn or garden tool, lawnmower, or any other similar device used between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. and which device did not produce a sound of 85 dB(A) or greater when measured from the nearest residential property where the sound is being received and was used for the maintenance or upkeep of the property on which it was used.
3. The emission of any sound was for the purpose of alerting persons to the existence of an emergency, danger or attempted crime.
4. Sound produced by an authorized emergency vehicle
5. Sound produced by emergency work necessary to restore public utilities, or to restore property to a safe condition, or to protect persons or property from imminent danger, following a fire, accident or natural disaster.
6. Sound produced by aircraft in flight or in operation at an airport, or railroad equipment in operation on railroad rights-of-way.
7. Sound produced by the operation of any air conditioning unit which did not produce a sound of 85 dB(A) or greater on residential property or 85 dB(A) on nonresidential property, when measured at or near 15 feet from the air conditioning unit producing the sound being measured.
8. Noises of safety signals, warning devices and emergency pressure relief valves.
9. Transient noise of moving sources, such as automobiles, trucks, and airplanes (see other City ordinances for regulation of transient noise).
CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

ARTICLE 5: ZONING PROCEDURES

DIVISION 1: APPLICATION FOR ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

Section 2.5.1.4 Criteria for Approval

A. In making a determination regarding a requested zoning change, the Planning and Zoning Commission and the City Council may consider the following factors:

1. Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan and preferred scenario map;
2. Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any adopted small area plan or neighborhood character study for the area;
3. Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of any applicable plan adopted by City Council;
4. Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any applicable development agreement in effect; ...

CHAPTER 3. SUBDIVISIONS

ARTICLE 6: BLOCKS, LOTS, ACCESS

DIVISION 3: LOTS

Section 3.6.3.1 Lot Standards

A. Lot Frontage. Every lot shall have frontage on a public street except as allowed under the courtyard or cottage court building types in Section 4.4.6.9 or Section 4.4.6.4.
B. Lot Arrangement.

1. Lots shall be subdivided to permit conformance with all laws and ordinances and to ensure orderly urban growth, proper building arrangement and to provide city services and facilities.
2. Lot dimensions shall provide for the potential development of all lots and future compliance with the development standards of this Development Code.
3. Irregularly-Shaped Lots. Irregularly-shaped lots shall have sufficient width at the front setback line to meet lot width requirements in Chapter 4.
   a) Triangular, tapered, or flag lots shall be not be permitted except for use as dedicated parkland lots.
   b) Severely elongated (in excess of three to one (3:1) length to width ratio) lots shall not be permitted except for use as dedicated parkland lots, or for use as townhomes or zero lot line building type lots, or for infill development.
   c) Townhome and Zero Lot Line lots, and infill development lots may not exceed a six to one (6:1) length to width ratio
   d) Exceptions to the irregularly shaped lot requirements fall under the alternative compliance process in accordance with Section 2.8.4.1.

Planning & Zoning Commission recommended denial of this amendment
CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS
ARTICLE 2: ZONING DISTRICTS
DIVISION 6: BUILDING TYPE STANDARDS
Section 4.4.6.1 Accessory Dwelling Unit

### Lot
- Width: Set by District
- Area: Set by District
- Lot coverage: Set by District

### Building Elements Allowed
- Front Porch: Section 4.3.5.11
- Stoop: Section 4.3.5.12
- Balcony: Section 4.3.5.13

### Height and Massing
- Principle Structure Height: Set by District
- Accessory Structure Height: Set by District
- Building Footprint: 1/2 the principle building (1,000 sq ft max.)

### Building Setbacks
- Principle Building Setbacks: Set by District
- Accessory Structure Setbacks: Set by District

### Vehicle Access and Parking
- Parking Requirements: 1 Additional Space
- Parking Location: Second Layer, Third Layer

---
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CHAPTER 7. SUPPLEMENTAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

ARTICLE 6: MANUFACTURED HOME AND TINY HOME VILLAGE

DIVISION 1: REGULATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS

Section 7.6.1.1 Jurisdiction
This Article is applicable to the City limits and the Extraterritorial Jurisdiction of the City.

Section 7.6.1.2 Site Design Requirements
A. Site Requirements. Any Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village Constructed or Developed after the effective date of this development Code and for any extension or Addition to any existing or Manufactured Home Park shall comply with the following Site requirements:
   1. Location. A Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village within the City limits shall be located only on a site within the Manufactured Home (MH) District.
   2. Minimum Requirements. Each Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village within the City limits shall comply with all applicable standards and requirements of the MH Zoning District. Each Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village within the City’s Extraterritorial Jurisdiction shall comply with all standards and requirements as if it were within the City limits and zoned within the MH District.
      a. Soil and Ground Cover. Exposed ground surfaces in all parts of every Manufactured Home Park shall be paved, covered with stone or other solid material, or protected with a vegetative growth that is capable of preventing soil erosion and of eliminating dust.
      b. Drainage. The ground surface in all parts of a Manufactured Home Park shall be graded and equipped to drain all surface water away from pad sites.

Section 7.6.1.3 Access and Traffic Circulation and Parking
A. Block Perimeter. Manufactured home parks, and recreational vehicle parks, and Tiny Home Villages shall meet the block perimeter requirements in Section 3.6.2.1.
B. Internal Streets and Signage. Internal Streets, no-parking-area Signs, and Thoroughfare name Signs in a Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall be privately owned, built and maintained. Streets shall be designed for safe and convenient access to all spaces and to facilities for common use of the park’s residents. Internal Streets shall be kept open and free of obstruction in order that police and fire vehicles may have access to any areas of the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village.
C. Signs Prohibiting Parking Required. On all sections of Internal Streets on which parking is prohibited under this Article, the owner or agent shall Erect metal “no parking” Signs; type, size, height and location shall be approved by the Director of Public Services prior to installation.
D. Internal Street Construction and Maintenance. All Internal Streets shall be constructed and maintained by the owner or agent. All Internal Streets shall be free of cracks, holes and other hazards. Internal Streets shall be constructed on hard-surfaced, all-weather material and shall be approved by the Director of Public Services.
E. Access to Each Home or Space. An Internal Street or Common Access Route shall be provided to each Mobile Home, Manufactured Home, or Tiny Home space. This Internal Street or Common Access Route shall have a minimum width of 30 feet if off-street parking is provided in the ratio of two parking spaces for each Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village space. The Internal Street shall be continuous and connect with other Internal Streets or with a public Thoroughfare or shall be provided with a cul-de-sac having a minimum diameter of 95 feet.
F. Minimum Parking Requirement. Two spaces are required for each manufactured home site or Tiny Home site in accordance with the requirements for residential single family dwellings under Section 7.1.2.1.
G. Parking Space Construction. Parking Spaces shall be hard-surfaced with all-weather material, located to eliminate interference with access to Parking Lots and Parking Areas provided for other Mobile Homes, Manufactured Homes, or Tiny Homes and for public parking in the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village.
H. Unobstructed Access. Internal Streets shall permit unobstructed access to within at least 200 feet of any portion of each Mobile Home, Manufactured Home or Tiny Home. Speed bumps constructed to maintain safe speed of vehicles moving within the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall not be considered as obstructions. Speed bumps are to be constructed at four to one inclination, not to exceed four inches in height. Speed bumps shall be painted with fluorescent paint.
Intersections with Public Thoroughfares. Interior Streets shall intersect Adjoining public Thoroughfares at approximately 90 degrees with a curb line radius of 20 feet at a location which shall eliminate or minimize interference with traffic on those public Thoroughfares.

J. Common Area Parking Area Required. A minimum Parking Area of 150 square feet per Mobile Home or Manufactured Home, or Tiny Home space shall be provided in a common area for storage of boats or vehicles in excess of two per Mobile Home or Manufactured Home, or Tiny Home space and for visitors' vehicles to minimize on-street parking and to facilitate movement of emergency vehicles into and through the park.

Section 7.6.1.4  Street Lighting
Street lighting within the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall be provided by the Developer along Internal Streets. Light standards shall have a height and spacing to ensure that an average illumination level of not less than two-tenths foot-candles shall be maintained.

Section 7.6.1.5  Fire Safety Standards
A. Storage and Handling of Liquefied Petroleum Gases. In Manufactured Home Parks or Tiny Home Villages, in which liquefied petroleum gases are stored and dispensed, their handling and storage shall comply with requirements of the City plumbing and fire codes as applicable.

B. Storage and Handling of Flammable Liquids. In Manufactured Home Parks or Tiny Home Villages in which gasoline, fuel, oil or other flammable liquids are stored or dispensed, their handling and storage shall comply with the City fire code.

C. Access for Fire Fighting. Approaches to all Mobile Homes and Manufactured Homes and Tiny Homes shall be kept clear for fire fighting.

D. Fire Fighting Instruction. The Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village owner or agent shall be responsible for instructing the owner's staff in the use of the park's fire protection equipment and in their specific duties if a fire occurs.

E. Water Supply Facilities for Fire Department Operation. The Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village owner shall provide standard City fire hydrants located within 500 feet of all Mobile Home or Manufactured Home, or Tiny Home spaces, measured along the driveways or Internal Streets.

F. Rubbish Disposal. The Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village owner or agent shall provide an adequate system of collection and safe disposal of rubbish, approved by the Planning Director.

G. Removal of Dry Brush, Leaves and Weeds. The Manufactured Home Park owner or agent shall be responsible for maintaining the entire area of the Manufactured Home Park free of dry brush, leaves and weeds.

Section 7.6.1.6  Recreational Area
All Manufactured Home Parks and Tiny Home Villages shall have a recreational area amounting to five percent total area of the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village.

Section 7.6.1.7  Water Supply
A. Required. An accessible, adequate, safe and potable supply of water shall be provided in each Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village. Connection shall be made to the public supply of water. The public supply shall be adequate both for domestic requirements and for fire fighting requirements established by the City.

B. Water Distribution System.
1. The water supply system of the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall be connected by pipes to all Mobile Homes, Manufactured Homes, Tiny Homes, Buildings and other facilities requiring water.
2. All water piping, fixtures and other equipment shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with State and City regulations and requirements.

C. Individual Connections. Individual connections shall be in accordance with requirements of the City Plumbing Code, as applicable.

Section 7.6.1.8  Sewage Disposal
A. Requirements. For sewage disposal in a Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village, the following shall apply:
1. Approval required. Prior to Construction or development, all proposed sewage disposal facilities shall be approved by the Environmental Health Department and Director of Water and Wastewater. The use of septic tanks for the disposal of sewage shall not be approved except when City Wastewater Facilities are not available.
2. Wastewater Lines. All Wastewater lines shall be in accordance with the City Plumbing Code, as applicable.
3. Individual Wastewater and Water Connections.
   a. All materials used for Wastewater connections shall be in accordance with City Plumbing Code, as applicable.
   b. Provision shall be made for plugging the Wastewater riser when no Mobile Home or Manufactured Home occupies the space. Surface Drainage shall be diverted away from the riser.

Section 7.6.1.9  Electrical and Telephone Distribution System
All electrical wiring in the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall be in accordance with the electrical code as amended and the requirements of the electric utility provider. All telephone lines in a Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall be installed underground.
Section 7.6.1.10 Service Buildings and Other Community Service Facilities
A. Applicability. This Division 1 shall apply additionally to service Buildings, recreation Buildings and other community service facilities in a Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village, including without limitation:
1. Management Offices, Repair shops and storage areas;
2. Sanitary facilities;
3. Laundry facilities;
4. Indoor recreation areas; and
5. Commercial Uses supplying essential goods or services for the benefit and convenience of park occupants.
B. Barbecue Pits, Fireplaces, Stoves and Incinerators. Cooking shelters, barbecue pits, fireplaces, wood-burning stoves and incinerators shall be located, constructed, maintained and used so as to minimize fire hazards and smoke nuisance, both on the property on which it is used and on neighboring property. No open fire shall be permitted except in facilities provided. No open fire shall be left unattended. No fuel shall be used and no material burned which emits dense smoke or objectionable odors.

Section 7.6.1.11 Fuel Supply and Storage
Liquefied petroleum gas systems shall be installed only if an available natural gas system is more than 1,000 feet from the Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village. The liquefied petroleum gas systems shall be maintained in accordance with applicable codes of the City governing these systems and regulations of the State Railroad Commission pertaining thereto.

Section 7.6.1.12 Register of Occupancy
A. Maintenance of a Register. The owner or agent of a Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village shall maintain a register of park occupancy which shall contain the following information:
1. Name and park address of Manufactured Home Park or Tiny Home Village residents.
2. Dates of arrival and departure.

Section 7.6.1.13 Skirting Required; Maintenance of Additions
Skirting shall be required for each Mobile Home or Manufactured Home in a Manufactured Home Park. Skirting and other Additions, when installed, shall be maintained in good repair.

CHAPTER 7. DEFINITIONS
ARTICLE 1: DEFINED TERMS
Tiny Home: a dwelling unit that is 400 square feet or less in floor area excluding lofts. Tiny Home and Tiny House shall have the same meaning.
Tiny Home Village: a lot where multiple tiny homes are situated on individual spaces in accordance with Section 7.6.1.

Section 5.1.1.2 Land Use Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Land Use</th>
<th>Conventional Residential</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density Districts</th>
<th>Character districts</th>
<th>Special Districts</th>
<th>Use Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home Community / Manufactured Home Park / Tiny Home Village</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- P --</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

ARTICLE 3: UNIVERSAL PROCEDURES

DIVISION 1: APPLICATION PROCESSING

Section 2.3.1.1 Application Processing
This Article 3 is applicable to all applications required or submitted pursuant to this development code. Applications, petitions and requests initiated by the City Council, any city board or commission or city staff, however, are exempt from the requirements below except for the requirements pertaining to neighborhood presentations under subsections E, F and G of Division 1.

E. Pre-Development Meeting. An applicant is **required** to request a pre-development meeting with the Responsible Official prior to filing an application. The Responsible Official shall have the authority to waive the pre-development meeting, if such application does not warrant a meeting, or if alternative measures have been taken to address concerns and/or questions that may arise out of the application. No application shall be accepted for filing at a pre-development meeting. A pre-development meeting is voluntary, and thus doesn’t trigger any grandfathering or vested rights or commence a review period.

ARTICLE 4: GENERAL LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURES

DIVISION 1: DEVELOPMENT CODE TEXT AMENDMENTS

Section 2.4.1.2 Application Requirements
A. An application for a text amendment to the Development Code shall be submitted in accordance with the universal application procedures in Section 2.3.1.1.
B. An application for a text amendment requires initial authorization by the City Council.
C. Text amendments initiated, requested, or directed by City Council do not require initial authorization.
D. The City Council shall consider the initial authorization of a text amendment and may reject the petition or direct further consideration of the application for text amendment in accordance with Section 2.4.1.3.
E. Except for amendments initiated on behalf of the City Council, the application to amend the text of this Development Code shall state with particularity the nature of the amendment and the reason for the amendment.
F. The City Council may establish rules governing times for submission and consideration of text amendments.

ARTICLE 5: ZONING PROCEDURES

DIVISION 1: APPLICATION FOR ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

Section 2.5.1.3 Approval Process
B. Planning and Zoning Commission Action.
   1. The Planning and Zoning Commission shall hold a public hearing on the application in accordance with Section 2.3.3.1.
   2. The Planning and Zoning Commission shall make a recommendation regarding the application for a zoning map amendment to the City Council.
   3. The Planning and Zoning Commission may recommend approval or denial of the application for a zoning map amendment or such other less intense zoning district classification.
C. City Council Action
   1. The City Council shall consider an application for a zoning map amendment at its public hearing no sooner than seven days after the date of the Planning and Zoning Commission’s recommendation.
   2. The City Council should consider the criteria in Section 2.5.1.4 and may vote to approve or deny the specific proposed zoning map amendment or such other less intense zoning district classification.
DIVISION 5: CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

Section 2.5.5.5 Appeals
A. General Procedure. An applicant or other interested person within the four-hundred foot (400') personal notification area may appeal a final decision of the Historic Preservation Commission on an application for a certificate of appropriateness to the Zoning Board of Adjustments within ten days of the Historic Preservation Commission’s action on the application, except for appeals pertaining to property owned by the City of San Marcos. Appeals pertaining to property owned by the City of San Marcos shall be made to the City Council within ten days of the Historic Preservation Commission’s action on the application. The Zoning Board of Adjustments appellate body shall decide the appeal in accordance with Section 2.8.1.1.

B. Supplemental Procedure. In considering the appeal, the Zoning Board of Adjustments appellate body shall:
1. Review the record of the proceeding from which an appeal is sought;
2. Receive an overview of the case from the Responsible Official, including previous recommendations from city staff and the decision of the Historic Preservation Commission;
3. Hear arguments from the party appealing the decision of the Historic Preservation Commission; and
4. Remand the matter back to the Historic Preservation Commission when relevant testimony and newly-acquired evidence is presented that was not previously presented at the time of the hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission.

C. Criteria on Appeal.
1. The Zoning Board of Adjustments appellate body shall apply the substantial evidence test as established under Texas law to the decision of the Historic Preservation Commission;
2. The burden of proof before the Zoning Board of Adjustments appellate body shall be on the appealing party, who must establish that the record reflects the lack of substantial evidence in support of the decision of the Historic Preservation Commission;
3. The Zoning Board of Adjustments appellate body may not substitute its judgment for the judgment of the Historic Preservation Commission on the weight of the evidence on issues committed to the Planning and Zoning Commission’s discretion.

CHAPTER 3. SUBDIVISIONS

ARTICLE 2: PLAT APPLICATIONS

DIVISION 1: SUBDIVISION CONCEPT PLAT

Section 3.2.1.1 Purpose, Applicability, and Effect
A. Purpose. The purpose of a subdivision concept plat shall be to delineate the sequence and timing of development within a proposed subdivision, where the tract to be developed is part of a larger parcel of land owned or controlled by the applicant, in order to determine compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and the availability and capacity of public improvements needed for the subdivision and the larger parcel.

B. Applicability. Approval of a subdivision concept plat must be obtained for any division of land where:
1. The proposed development is to occur in phases and
2. The tract to be subdivided is twenty (20) or more acres

C. Exceptions. A Concept Plat is not required where the subdivider elects to submit a Preliminary Subdivision Plat.

D. If the land subject to the subdivision concept plat is part of a larger parcel, the remaining land shall be shown as a remainder tract, but shall not be included within the official boundaries of the subdivision concept plat.

E. A subdivision concept plat application may be approved concurrently under the administrative regulating plan process for a Planning Area district in accordance with Section 4.4.3.7.

F. Effect. Approval of a subdivision concept plat authorizes:
1. Subsequent subdivision applications.
2. That all plats approved thereafter for the same land shall be consistent with the subdivision concept plat for so long as the subdivision concept plat remains in effect.
ARTICLE 6: BLOCKS, LOTS, ACCESS

DIVISION 2: BLOCKS

Section 3.6.2.1. Block Perimeter

### Block Perimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning District</th>
<th>Block Perimeter (max)</th>
<th>Dead-End Street (Max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD, CD-1, CD-2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>500 Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-6, ND-3, SF-R, SF-4.5</td>
<td>3,000 Ft.</td>
<td>300 Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND-3.5, ND-4, CD-3</td>
<td>2,800 Ft.</td>
<td>250 Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-4</td>
<td>2,400 Ft.</td>
<td>200 Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-5, CD-5D</td>
<td>2000 Ft.</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC, HC, HI, LI, ETJ</td>
<td>5,000 Ft.</td>
<td>400 Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Districts and ETJ</td>
<td>3,000 Ft.</td>
<td>300 Ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. Block Measurement**

1. A block is bounded by a public right-of-way, not including an alley. All public rights-of-way proposed in order to meet the block standards must be improved with a street.
2. Block perimeter is measured along the edge of the property adjoining the public right-of-way, except for the measurement of dead-end streets, which are measured from intersecting centerlines.
3. The maximum block perimeter may be extended by fifty (50%) percent where the block includes a pedestrian passage, shared street, or an alley in accordance with Section 3.7.2.6 that connects the two (2) streets on opposing block faces. Pedestrian passages and alleys may connect dead-end streets.
4. A block may be broken by a civic building or open lot, provided the lot is at least fifty (50) feet wide and deep and provides a pedestrian passage meeting the requirements of Section 3.7.2.6 that directly connects the two (2) streets on each block face.
5. Within a single phase of any subdivision or development, individual block perimeters may exceed the maximum by twenty five (25%) percent provided that the average of all block perimeters in the phase does not exceed the maximum.
6. The Responsible Official may waive the block perimeter requirements or maximum dead-end street length consistent with Section 3.6.2.1 when steep slopes in excess of twenty five (25%) percent, freeways, waterways, railroad lines, preexisting development, tree conservation areas, stream buffers, cemeteries, open space or easements would make the provision of a complete block infeasible or does not advance the intent of this Article.
7. Where the block pattern is interrupted by a natural obstruction or public parkland, including greenways, that is open and accessible to the public, pedestrian access points shall be provided with a minimum spacing equal to one half (½) of the maximum block perimeter.
8. A larger block perimeter may be permitted for HI zoned lots with a building that exceed 200,000 square feet. The block perimeter shall not exceed the lot area required to meet parking and landscaping provisions for the individual structure.
### ARTICLE 7: NEW STREETS

#### DIVISION 2: STREET TYPES

#### B. Neighborhood Street: Limited Parking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TRAVELWAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A local thoroughfare of low speed and capacity.</td>
<td>Pavement Width: 20' - 30'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL</strong></td>
<td>Travel Lane: 10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way: 64' min</td>
<td>Parking Lane: 6'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Speed: 20-25 mph</td>
<td>Streetscape:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Plantar: 7' min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikeway: Shared Right of Way</td>
<td>Tree Spacing: 35' o.c. avg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: Parallel</td>
<td>Sidewalk: 5' min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting: Tree Lawn</td>
<td>Interior Travel Lane: 10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curb Lane Width: 12'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. Shared Street

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TRAVELWAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low traffic volume street used as a mid-block crossing in certain circumstances where the block length may be extended and characterized by 1-3 story mixed use buildings.</td>
<td>Pavement Width: 30'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL</strong></td>
<td>Travel Lane: 10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way: 30' min, 53' max</td>
<td>Parking Lane: 10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorist Operating Speed: 15 mph</td>
<td>Streetscape:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Optional Streetscape: 6' - 11.5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikeway: Shared Right of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: Parallel (alternating)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting: Planters (alternating)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### E. Rear Alley and Lane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A vehicular drive located to the rear of lots providing access to service areas, parking, or accessory structures, and containing utility easements.</td>
<td>A vehicular drive located to the rear of lots providing access to service areas, parking, or accessory structures, and containing utility easements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>COMMERCIAL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way: 20' min</td>
<td>Right of Way: 24' min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkway: Shared Alley</td>
<td>Walkway: Shared Alley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikeway: Shared Alley</td>
<td>Bikeway: Shared Alley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: N/A</td>
<td>Parking: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting: N/A</td>
<td>Planting: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Width: 16' min, 15' min</td>
<td>Pavement Width: 24' min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 4: ZONING DISTRICTS

DIVISION 3: CHARACTER DISTRICTS

Section 4.4.3.3 Character District-3

### CD-3

#### SECTION 4.4.3.3 CHARACTER DISTRICT - 3

---

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

The CD-3 district is primarily intended to accommodate one and two family houses. Uses that would substantially interfere with the residential nature of the district are not allowed.

---

**Density**

- Impervious Cover: 60% max.
- Units Per Gross Acre: 10 max.

**Occupancy Restrictions** Section 5.1.4.1

**Transportation**

- Block Perimeter: 2,800 ft. max. Section 3.6.2.1
- Streetscape Type: Residential Section 3.8.1.10

---

**BUILDING TYPES ALLOWED**

- Accessory Dwelling Section 4.4.6.1
- House Section 4.4.6.2
- Cottage Section 4.4.6.3
- Cottage Court Section 4.4.6.4
- Duplex Section 4.4.6.5
- Zero Lot Line House Section 4.4.6.6
- Civic Building Section 4.4.6.15

---

**KEY**

A. Property Line (ROW)

---

FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY
## CHAPTER 5. USE REGULATIONS

### ARTICLE 1: ALLOWABLE USES

### DIVISION 1: INTERPRETIVE RULES AND LAND USE MATRIX

**Section 5.1.1.2 Land Use Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Land Uses</th>
<th>Conventional Residential</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density Districts</th>
<th>Character Districts</th>
<th>Special Districts</th>
<th>Definition Use Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural Uses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barns or agricultural buildings</td>
<td>P L -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P L -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stables</td>
<td>P L -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P L -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Garden</td>
<td>P P L L L L L -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P L L L P P P P P P</td>
<td>P P -- P C C -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Farm</td>
<td>P C C C C C L L L C -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P L L L C P P -- P C</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Nursery</td>
<td>L -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accessory Uses and Structures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Building/Structure</td>
<td>P P P P P P P P P P P P</td>
<td>P P P P P P P P P P P P</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Dwelling Unit</td>
<td>L L L L L L L P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P L P P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Use, except as listed below:</td>
<td>P P P P P P P P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P P P P P P P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Storage</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P L P -- P --</td>
<td>L -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Display</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P L P -- P --</td>
<td>L -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Truck</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P L -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P P P P P P P --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive-thru or Drive-In</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>C C -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>C C C P -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Occupation</td>
<td>L L L L L L L -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Home Care</td>
<td>P P P P P P P P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P P -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term Rental</td>
<td>L L L L L L L P -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L P P P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L P -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L P -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential Uses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Detached</td>
<td>P L L L L L L L -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>F L P -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage Court</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L P -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Family</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L P -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Attached</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L L L L L -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L P P P P -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Multi-Family (up to 5 units)</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L L L L L L -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P P -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtyard Housing (up to 24 units)</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>L L -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P P P -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family (10 or more units)</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P P P P -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>P -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose Built Student Housing</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>C C -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufactured Home</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>C C -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home Community</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>C C -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Section 5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 2: BUILDING TYPES

DIVISION 1: BUILDING TYPES

Section 4.2.1.1 Building Types Established
The following building types have been established to allow for detailed regulation of the form within each zoning district.

House:
A medium to large detached single-family structure that incorporates one unit. Typically located within a primarily single-family residential neighborhood in a more rural or suburban setting. If located within a walkable neighborhood, this building type is typically located at the edge of the neighborhood, providing a transition to the more rural areas.

Cottage:
A medium to small sized detached structure that incorporates one unit. Typically located within a primarily residential neighborhood in a walkable urban setting, potentially near a neighborhood main street. In its smaller size, this type can enable appropriately-scaled, well-designed affordable housing at higher densities and is important for providing a broad choice of housing types and promoting walkability.

Section 4.4.1.3 Single Family-6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING TYPE</th>
<th>LOT AREA</th>
<th>LOT WIDTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>6,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>50 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage</td>
<td>6,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>50 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic</td>
<td>6,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>50 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4.4.3.3 Character District-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING TYPE</th>
<th>LOT AREA</th>
<th>LOT WIDTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>5,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>50 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage House</td>
<td>4,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>40 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage Court</td>
<td>1,200 sq. ft.</td>
<td>20 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>4,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>40 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Lot Line House</td>
<td>3,500 sq. ft.</td>
<td>30 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Building</td>
<td>5,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>50 ft. min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX C-HISTORIC DISTRICT GUIDELINES

ARTICLE 5: STANDARDS FOR GUIDELINES FOR SUSTAINABILITY

Section C.5.1.1 Purpose

A. Before implementing any energy conservation measures to enhance the sustainability of a historic building, the existing energy-efficient characteristics of the building should be assessed. The key to a successful rehabilitation project is to identify and understand any lost original and existing energy-efficient aspects of the historic building, as well as to identify and understand its character-defining features to ensure they are preserved. The most sustainable building may be one that already exists. Thus, good preservation practice is often synonymous with sustainability. There are numerous treatments—traditional as well as new technological innovations—that may be used to upgrade a historic building to help it operate even more efficiently. Whether a historic building is rehabilitated for a new or a continuing use, it is important to utilize the building’s inherently-sustainable qualities as they were intended. It is equally important that they function effectively together with any new measures undertaken to further improve energy efficiency. The following guidelines offer specific guidance on how to make historic buildings more sustainable in a manner that will preserve their historic character.

Section C.5.21 Introduction
CHAPTER 5. USE REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 1: ALLOWABLE USES

DIVISION 5: COMMERCIAL

Section 5.1.5.9 Special Event Facility

A. Defined. A facility or hall available for special events with indoor and outdoor space that accommodates private functions such as weddings, quinceanera, and similar celebrations.

B. Use Standards. Where a special event facility is allowed as a conditional use, it may be permitted by the Planning and Zoning Commission subject to Section 2.8.3.1, and the standards below.

1. Minimum parcel size. No event center shall be located on a site of less than five acres.
2. Transitional Protective Yard. A type D transitional protective yard as per Table 7.8 of the Development Code is required in all instances, along the entire perimeter of the property.
3. The permit holder shall not be permitted to hold a TABC license.
4. A site plan illustrating, where appropriate: location, square footage and height of existing and proposed structures, landscaping or fencing, setbacks, parking, ingress & egress, signs, etc., shall be required and approved at the time of the Conditional Use Permit
5. A floor plan(s) illustrating fire exits, doors, rooms, indoor & outdoor seating, kitchen, bar, restrooms, fire exits, etc., shall be required and approved at the time of the Conditional Use Permit.
6. All uses of property shall comply with Section 7.4.2.1 of this Development Code.
7. A parking buffer in accordance with Section 7.1.4.4 shall be required in all instances.
8. The single-family preservation buffer shall be calculated in accordance with Section 4.1.2.5.D. An affirmative vote of six members of the Planning and Zoning Commission and five members of the City Council will be required to approve the request in instances where the single-family preservation buffer calculated in accordance with Section 4.1.2.5.D results in 50% or more single family zoning.

Section 5.1.1.2 Land Use Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Land Use</th>
<th>Conventional Residential</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density Districts</th>
<th>Character districts</th>
<th>Special Districts</th>
<th>Use Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>SF-8</td>
<td>SF-6</td>
<td>SF-4.5</td>
<td>ND-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Event Facility</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 9.3.1.2 Land Use Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amusement and Recreational Uses</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>SF-11</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>TH</th>
<th>PHZL</th>
<th>MF12</th>
<th>MF18</th>
<th>MF24</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>MU</th>
<th>VMU</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>GC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Event Facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 7.1 GENERAL MINIMUM PARKING REQUIREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Minimum Parking</th>
<th>Bicycle Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Event Facility</td>
<td>1 space per 200 sf indoor and outdoor space</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS
ARTICLE 4: ZONING DISTRICTS
DIVISION 2: NEIGHBORHOOD DENSITY DISTRICTS

SECTION 4.4.2.2 NEIGHBORHOOD DENSITY-3.2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION The ND-3.2 district is proposed to accommodate single-family detached houses and encourage opportunities for home ownership. Additional building types and relatively smaller lot sizes are allowed that accommodate affordable alternatives for home ownership. ND-3.2 could be applied in areas where the land use pattern is single-family or two-family with some mixture in housing types. Uses that would interfere with the residential nature of the district are not allowed.

DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units per Gross Acre</td>
<td>12 max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious cover</td>
<td>65% max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy Restrictions</td>
<td>5.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRANSPORTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block Perimeter</td>
<td>2,800 ft. max</td>
<td>3.6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetscape Style</td>
<td>Residential Conventional</td>
<td>3.8.1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8.1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUILDING TYPES ALLOWED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>4.4.6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Lot Line House - (Detached Only)</td>
<td>4.4.6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic</td>
<td>4.4.6.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUILDING STANDARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principle Building Height</td>
<td>2 stories max</td>
<td>35 ft. max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Structure Height</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24 ft max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Width</td>
<td>60 ft max</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SETBACKS-PRINCIPAL BUILDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal Street</td>
<td>15 ft. min or Avg front setback (Section 4.4.2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Street</td>
<td>15 ft. min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>5 ft. min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>15 ft. min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear, abutting alley</td>
<td>5 ft. min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Lot Area</th>
<th>Lot Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>3,500 sq. ft.</td>
<td>40 ft. min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Lot Line House</td>
<td>2,500 sq. ft.</td>
<td>25 ft. min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic</td>
<td>4,500 sq. ft.</td>
<td>50 ft. min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PARKING LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer (Section 4.3.3.1)</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Garage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Layer</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Layer</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>Section 7.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Layer</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>Section 7.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADU will be included as an allowable building type to align with ND-3 & ND-3.5

3,500 SQ. FT. FOR HOUSE IS A Typo – STAFF RECOMMENDS 4,500 SQ. FT. TO BETTER ALIGN WITH ND-3 & ND-3.5
CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

ARTICLE 4: GENERAL LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURES

DIVISION 2: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENTS

Section 2.4.2.3 Approval Process

B. Planning and Zoning Commission Action.
   1. The Planning and Zoning Commission shall hold a public hearing on the application in accordance Section 2.3.3.1.
   2. The Planning and Zoning Commission shall make a recommendation regarding the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment(s) to the City Council. The Planning and Zoning Commission may recommend approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a Comprehensive Plan amendment.
   3. A recommendation for approval from the Planning and Zoning Commission requires an affirmative vote of six (6) members of the Planning and Zoning Commission.

C. City Council Action.
   1. Before taking final action on a proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment, the City Council shall hold two (2) public hearings where they may consider the recommendations of the Planning Commission, Responsible Official and any comments made during the public hearings.
   2. The Council may review the application in light of the criteria in Section 2.4.2.4.
   3. An application for a Comprehensive Plan amendment is subject to a super majority vote of the City Council when applicable in accordance with Section 2.2.4.2.
   4. The approval of a Comprehensive Plan amendment requires an affirmative vote of five (5) members of the City Council.
   5. After the public hearing is closed, the Council may approve, reject or modify the requested amendments by adoption of an ordinance.

CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS AND ESTABLISHMENT OF DISTRICTS

DIVISION 1: PURPOSE AND INTENT

Section 4.1.1.6 Comprehensive Plan Preferred Scenario

Table 4.1 Comprehensive Plan/District Translation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Classification</th>
<th>Open Space/Ag</th>
<th>Low Intensity</th>
<th>Existing Neighborhood</th>
<th>Medium or High Intensity</th>
<th>Employment Center</th>
<th>Corridor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Residential</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Density Districts</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>See Section 4.1.2.4-4.1.2.5</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character Districts</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Districts</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

ARTICLE 3: UNIVERSAL PROCEDURES

DIVISION 1: APPLICATION PROCESSING

Section 2.3.1.1 Application Processing

H. Informational Meetings. The purpose of an informational meeting is to begin the discussion about the proposal with City Commissions and Councilmembers. These meetings are not a forum for final decisions or the acceptance of formal comments concerning Commissioner or Councilmember support or opposition.

1. Applicability. Informational meetings are required for zoning map amendment requests to a Neighborhood Density District when located in an Existing Neighborhood.
2. When informational meetings are required the Responsible Official shall schedule the following meetings upon submission:
   a. An informational meeting with members of the Neighborhood Commission;
   b. An informational meeting with members of the Planning and Zoning Commission, and;
   c. An informational meeting with the City Council prior to the first City Council public hearing.

ARTICLE 5: ZONING PROCEDURES

DIVISION 1: APPLICATION FOR ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

The property owner or the owner’s authorized agent, the Planning and Development Services Director, the Planning and Zoning Commission, or the City Council on its own motion, may initiate an application for a zoning map amendment.

Section 2.5.1.3 Approval Process

A. Responsible Official Action

1. Upon acceptance of an application for a zoning map amendment, the Responsible Official shall schedule a neighborhood presentation meeting in accordance with Section 2.3.1.1.
2. Upon acceptance of an application for a zoning map amendment, the Responsible Official shall schedule informational meetings in accordance with Section 2.3.1.1.F.

CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS AND ESTABLISHMENT OF DISTRICTS

DIVISION 2: DISTRICTS ESTABLISHED

Section 4.1.2.5 Compatibility of Uses and Density (Neighborhood Density Districts)

A. Policy. It is the policy of the City Council, through exercising its zoning authority, to:
   1. Help prevent the impacts of high density uses on low density areas;
   2. Limit changes in neighborhood density categories unless directed by a small area plan or neighborhood character study;
   3. Encourage more opportunities for home ownership; and
   4. Ensure a diversity of housing to serve citizens with varying needs and interests.

B. Small Area Plan. An adopted small area plan or neighborhood character study for the area surrounding a subject property is required in addition to supersedes the analysis in Table 4.45 and the single family preservation buffer in this Section 4.1.2.5.

C. Existing Neighborhood Regulating Plan. An existing neighborhood regulating plan is required to accompany any property owner requested zoning change to a Neighborhood Density District.

D. Single Family Preservation Buffer. The purpose of the single family preservation buffer is to preserve SF-R, SF-6, and SF4.5 zoning districts in existing neighborhood areas on the comprehensive plan map where these zoning districts make up the predominant land use pattern for an area in close proximity.
**F. Neighborhood Density Categories**  
Neighborhood density categories are described in the table below.

### Table 4.4 NEIGHBORHOOD DENSITY CATEGORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Density categories</th>
<th>Neighborhood Density Districts</th>
<th>Conventional, special, and legacy districts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Density</td>
<td>ND3</td>
<td>FD, AR, SF-R, MR, SF-6, SF4.5, DR, D, PH-ZL, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density</td>
<td>ND3.5</td>
<td>TH, MF-12, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>MU, MF-18, MF-24, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial / Mixed Use</td>
<td>N-MS</td>
<td>OP, NC, CC, GC, HC, LI, HI, MH, VMU, P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. A neighborhood density category is determined based on the existing zoning of the subject property.

### GF. Neighborhood Density District/Existing Zoning Translation Table
Zoning map amendments to a neighborhood density district shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the policy and criteria established in this development code.

1. **Consider (C).** Where the table indicates Consider (C), the request shall be considered based on:
   a. Zoning criteria in Section 2.5.1.4 and compatibility of uses and density in this Section 4.1.2.5.
   b. Surrounding zoning districts illustrated in the single family protection buffer analysis described in this Section 4.1.2.5

2. **Not Preferred (NP).** Where the table indicates that a request is Not Preferred (NP) the request is seeking to change the density category and is subject to additional scrutiny based on:
   a. Zoning criteria in Section 2.5.1.4 and compatibility of uses and density in this Section 4.1.2.5.
   b. Surrounding zoning districts illustrated in the single family protection buffer analysis described in this Section 4.1.2.5; and
   c. Existing use of the subject property; and
   d. Surrounding land use pattern.

3. **Not Preferred* (NP*).** Where the table indicates a is not preferred with an asterisk the request is subject to both the criteria for a request that is not preferred and the following:
   a. An affirmative vote of six members of the Planning and Zoning Commission to recommend a zoning change request and five members of the City Council to approve the same request are required when the single family preservation buffer calculated in accordance with Section 4.1.2.5 results in 50% or more single family zoning; or

### Table 4.5 NEIGHBORHOOD DENSITY DISTRICT/EXISTING ZONING TRANSLATION TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Density</th>
<th>Medium Density</th>
<th>High Density</th>
<th>Commercial / Mixed Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD, AR, SF-R, MR, SF-6, SF4.5, P DR, D, PH-ZL</td>
<td>DR, D, PH-ZL, TH, MF-12, P</td>
<td>MU, MF-18, MF-24, P</td>
<td>OP, NC, CC, GC, HC, LI, HI, MH, VMU, P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ND-3 | C | C | NP | NP* |
| ND-3.2 | NP | C | NP* | NP* |
| ND-3.5 | NP* | C | C | NP* |
| ND-4 | NP* | NP | C | NP |
| N-CM | NP* | NP* | C | C |

### Legend:

- **C** = Consider
- **NP** = Not Preferred
- *** =** 50% or more single family requires additional votes of Planning Commission and City Council in accordance with Sec. 4.1.2.5(G)3a. above.
ARTICLE 3: GENERAL TO ALL
DIVISION 5: ACTIVATION

Section 4.3.4.5 Residential Height Compatibility Standards
A. Height Stepback. A maximum building height of thirty-five (35) feet shall apply to portions of a structure within seventy (70) feet of a single-family zoning designation (measured from the property line).

Section 4.3.4.6 Additional Stories or Height

Section 4.3.5.17 Durable Building Material Area
A. Defined. Durable building material area means any portion of the exterior facade of the building that does not include windows, doors or other void areas.
B. Applicability.
   1. Primary and secondary durable building material standards are applied by district and building type.
   2. Prohibited materials are prohibited in any district or for any building type.
   3. Buildings in the municipal airport are exempted from durable building material standards.
C. Intent. The intent of the durable building material area requirement is to promote quality design, aesthetic value, visual appeal and the use of durable materials. The City prefers the use of durable building materials identified in this

CHAPTER 5. USE REGULATIONS
ARTICLE 1: ALLOWABLE USES
DIVISION 1: INTERPRETIVE RULES AND LAND USE MATRIX
Section 5.1.1.2 Land Use Matrix
DIVISION 3: ACCESSORY AND TEMPORARY STRUCTURES AND USES

Section 5.1.3.1 Accessory Buildings/Structures

A. Defined. A structure enclosing or covering usable space where the use of such structure is incidental and subordinate to one or more principal buildings. Accessory structures include but are not limited to the following:

1. Kiosk
2. Food Truck
3. Shed
4. Accessory Dwelling Unit

B. Use Standards

1. Setback, minimum parking, parking location, and height requirements for all accessory structures are established and set forth in Chapter 4 district descriptions and building type standards and Chapter 7 minimum parking.
2. No accessory structure may be located closer than 10 feet to any other building or structure on the same lot.

C. Accessory Dwelling Units

1. Defined. A secondary living space which shares ownership and utility connections, and which is on-site with a primary living space and that may be contained within the same structure as is the primary living space, or may be contained in a separate structure.
2. Use Standards
   a. An accessory dwelling unit is considered an independent unit for the purposes of occupancy restrictions.
   b. Where a new accessory dwelling unit is allowed as a limited use it is subject to the following standards:
      1. The owner of the lot or parcel of land must maintain his or her primary residence on the lot;
      2. No more than one accessory dwelling unit may exist on a lot or parcel of land;
      3. A separate utility connection is not allowed;
      4. A separate trash receptacle and recycle bin is required;
      5. The habitable area of the accessory dwelling unit must not exceed the lesser of 1000 square feet or one-half the number of square feet of habitable area of the principal dwelling on the lot or parcel of land

CHAPTER 7. SUPPLEMENTAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

ARTICLE 1: PARKING

DIVISION 2: MINIMUM PARKING REQUIREMENTS

Section 7.1.2.1 Minimum Requirements and Standards

A. On-street public parking that is located directly adjacent to the property and meets all requirements for on-street parking in accordance with a street type containing dedicated and striped parking in Section 3.7.2.1 may be counted towards the minimum parking requirements in Section 7.1.2.1 or Section 7.1.2.2.

1. Exceptions. On-street public parking shall not be counted towards the minimum parking requirements in Section 7.1.2.1 or Section 7.1.2.2 in CD-5D zoning districts.

B. The table below includes minimum parking requirements for any proposed uses except those in the CD-4, CD-5, or CD-5D zoning districts.

Section 7.1.2.2 Mixed Use Parking Requirements

B. Specific to CD-5 and CD-5D

1. The minimum parking requirements for properties with 4 or fewer units are exempt from the minimum parking requirements for residential.
2. The minimum parking requirements for properties with 5-10 units may be exempt from minimum parking requirements in Section 7.1.2.1 with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit by City Council upon recommendation by the Planning & Zoning Commission, in accordance with Section 2.8.3.1
**CHAPTER 4. ZONING REGULATIONS**

**ARTICLE 4: ZONING DISTRICTS**

**DIVISION 3: CHARACTER DISTRICTS**

**SECTION 4.4.3.3 CHARACTER DISTRICT-2.5**

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION** The CD-2.5 district is proposed to accommodate single-family detached houses and encourage opportunities for home ownership. CD-2.5 could be applied in areas where the land use pattern is single-family or two-family with some mixture in housing types. Uses that would interfere with the residential nature of the district are not allowed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DENSITY</th>
<th>TRANSPORTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units per Gross Acre</td>
<td><strong>8 max</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious cover</td>
<td><strong>60% max</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy Restrictions</td>
<td><strong>5.1.4.1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Perimeter</td>
<td><strong>2,800 ft. max</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetscape Style</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td><strong>Section 3.6.2.1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Section 3.8.1.10</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Section 3.8.1.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BUILDING TYPES ALLOWED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Dwelling</td>
<td>Section 4.4.6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>Section 4.4.6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic</td>
<td>Section 4.4.6.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BUILDING STANDARDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle Building Height</th>
<th>2 stories max.</th>
<th>35 ft. max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Structure Height</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24 ft max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SETBACKS-PRINCIPAL BUILDING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setback</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal Street</td>
<td>15 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Street</td>
<td>10 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>15 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear, abutting alley</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Lot Area</th>
<th>Lot Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>4,500 sq. ft min</td>
<td>40 ft. min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic</td>
<td>4,500 sq. ft min</td>
<td>50 ft. min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SETBACKS-ACCESSORY STRUCTURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setback</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Street</td>
<td>15 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Street</td>
<td>10 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>3 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PARKING LOCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer (Section 4.3.3.1)</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Garage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Layer</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Layer</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>Section 7.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Layer</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>Section 7.1.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECTION 4.4.3.6 CHARACTER DISTRICT-5 DOWNTOWN**
January 16, 2020

Andrea Villalobos  
Senior Planner  
Planning & Development Services  
City of San Marcos  
630 E. Hopkins  
San Marcos, Texas 78666  
E: AVillalobos@sanmarcostx.gov  

Re: Windemere Ranch  

Dear Ms. Villalobos:

I hereby request on behalf of my clients, Jason and Ashley Roberts, that the City of San Marcos establish a new use for an “Event Center”. We discussed this potential new use and the efficacy thereof for my clients’ property known as the Windemere Ranch on Post Road. Please let me know any manner in which I might expedite your work in establishing this new use and let me know if there are any additional documents either myself or clients need to sign or any meetings at which we would be helpful in shaping the use category to fit my clients’ expressed plans for the property.

Very truly yours,

John W. McGlothlin

JWM/rr

CC: Bert Lumbreras via email to blumbreras@sanmarcostx.gov
RECOMMENDATION RESOLUTION
Historic Preservation Commission

Recommendation Number: (2019-03RR): Recommending that a sustainability purpose section be added to Article 5, Standard Guidelines for Sustainability, of the Historic Design Guidelines.

WHEREAS, the City’s Comprehensive Plan, “Vision San Marcos: A River Runs Through Us,” recognizes that the citizens of San Marcos “are conscious of preserving our rich historical past and will pursue future cultural enrichment”; and

WHEREAS, toward that end, the City boasts seven locally designated historic districts and eight locally designated landmarks while other structures and sites have been recognized at the national and state levels for their historical or cultural significance;

WHEREAS, the City Council has created a Sustainability Committee to provide focus for the City on implementing more sustainable practices;

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission established sustainability guidelines within the Historic Design Guidelines in 2014 (Resolution 2014-92R) to assist property owners in more sustainable practices in maintaining historic properties; and

WHEREAS, to uphold the goals of the Comprehensive Plan, the goals of the City Council’s Sustainability Committee, and to preserve the City’s historical and cultural resources for future generations, the Historic Preservation Commission has explored including a purpose statement within Article 5 of the Historic Design Guidelines.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Historic Preservation Commission recommends that the San Marcos City Council consider the initial authorization of a text amendment, per Section 2.4.1.2 of the San Marcos Development Code, to Article 5 of the Historic Design Guidelines to include a purpose statement regarding sustainability substantially in the form attached.

Date of Approval: December 5, 2019

Record of the vote:

Attest: 
Griffin Spell, Chair, Historic Preservation Commission
Proposed Amendment

Article 5: standards for guidelines for sustainability

Section C.5.1.1 Purpose

A. Before implementing any energy conservation measures to enhance the sustainability of a historic building, the existing energy efficient characteristics of the building should be assessed. The key to a successful rehabilitation project is to identify and understand any lost original and existing energy-efficient aspects of the historic building, as well as to identify and understand its character-defining features to ensure they are preserved. The most sustainable building may be one that already exists. Thus, good preservation practice is often synonymous with sustainability. There are numerous treatments—traditional as well as new technological innovations—that may be used to upgrade a historic building to help it operate even more efficiently. Whether a historic building is rehabilitated for a new or a continuing use, it is important to utilize the building’s inherently-sustainable qualities as they were intended. It is equally important that they function effectively together with any new measures undertaken to further improve energy efficiency. The following guidelines offer specific guidance on how to make historic buildings more sustainable in a manner that will preserve their historic character.
Appendix Q
Tiny Houses
The provisions contained in this appendix are not mandatory unless specifically referenced in the adopting ordinance.

About this appendix: Appendix Q relaxes various requirements in the body of the code as they apply to houses that are 400 square feet in area or less. Attention is specifically paid to features such as compact stairs, including stair handrails and headroom, ladders, reduced ceiling heights in lofts and guard and emergency escape and rescue opening requirements at lofts.

Section AQ101
General

AQ101.1 Scope
This appendix shall be applicable to tiny houses used as single dwelling units. Tiny houses shall comply with this code except as otherwise stated in this appendix.

Section AQ102
Definitions

AQ102.1 General.
The following words and terms shall, for the purposes of this appendix, have the meaning shown herein. Refer to Chapter 2 of this code for general definitions.

Egress Roof Access Window. A skylight or roof window designed and installed to satisfy the emergency escape and rescue opening requirements of Section R310.2

Landing Platform. A landing provided as the top step of a stairway accessing a loft.

Loft. A floor level located more than 30 inches (762 mm) above the main floor, open to the main floor on one or more sides with a ceiling height of less than 6 feet 8 inches (2032 mm) and used as a living or sleeping space.

Tiny House. A dwelling that is 400 square feet (37 m²) or less in floor area excluding lofts.

Section AQ103
Ceiling Height

AQ103.1 Minimum ceiling height
Habitable space and hallways in tiny houses shall have a ceiling height of not less than 6 feet 8 inches (2032 mm). Bathrooms, toilet rooms and kitchens shall have a ceiling height of not less than 6 feet 4 inches (1930 mm). Obstructions including, but not limited to, beams, girders, ducts and lighting, shall not extend below these minimum ceiling heights.

Exceptions: Ceiling heights in lofts are permitted to be less than 6 feet 8 inches (2032 mm).
Section AQ104
Lofts

AQ104.1 Minimum loft area and dimensions.
Lofts used as a sleeping or living space shall meet the minimum area and dimension requirements of Sections AQ104.1.1 through AQ104.1.3

AQ104.1.1 Minimum area.
Lofts shall have a floor area of not less than 35 square feet (3.25 m²)

AQ104.1.2 Minimum dimensions
Lofts shall not be less than 5 feet (1524 mm) in any horizontal dimension.

AQ104.1.3 Height effect on loft area.
Portions of a loft with a sloped ceiling measuring less than 3 feet (914 mm) from the finished floor to the finished ceiling shall not be considered as contributing to the minimum required area for the loft.

Exception: Under gable roofs with a minimum slope of 6 units vertical in 12 units horizontal (50% slope), portions of a loft with a sloped ceiling measuring less than 16 inches (406 mm) from the finished floor to the finished ceiling shall not be considered as contributing to the minimum required area for the loft.

AQ104.2 Loft access.
The access to and primary egress from lofts shall be of any type described in Sections AQ104.2.1 through AQ104.2.4

AQ104.2.1 Stairways
Stairways accessing lofts shall comply with this code or with Sections AQ104.2.1.1 through AQ104.2.1.5

AQ104.2.1.1 Width
Stairways accessing a loft shall not be less than 17 inches (432 mm) in clear width at or above the handrail. The width below the handrail shall be not less than 20 inches (508 mm).

AQ104.2.1.2 Headroom
The headroom in stairways accessing a loft shall not be less than 6 feet 2 inches (1880 mm), as measured vertically, from a sloped line connecting the tread or landing platform nosings in the middle of their width.

AQ104.2.1.3 Treads and risers
Risers for stairs accessing a loft shall be not less than 7 inches (178 mm) and not more than 12 inches (305 mm) in height. Tread depth and riser height shall be calculated in accordance with one of the following formulas:
1. The tread depth shall be 20 inches (508 mm) minus four-thirds of the riser height.
2. The riser height shall be 15 inches (381 mm) minus three-fourths of the tread depth.

AQ104.2.1.4 Landing platforms
The top tread and riser of stairways accessing lofts shall be constructed as a landing platform where the loft ceiling height is less than 6 feet 2 inches (1880 mm) where the stairway meets the loft. The landing platform shall be 18 inches to 22 inches (457 to 559 mm) in depth measured from the nosing of the landing platform to the edge of the loft, and 16 to 18 inches (406 to 457 mm) in height measured from the landing platform to the loft floor.

AQ104.2.1.5 Handrails
Handrails shall comply with Section R311.7.8

AQ104.2.1.6 Stairway guards
Guards at open sides of stairways shall comply with Section R312.1

AQ104.2.2 Ladders
Ladders accessing lofts shall comply with Sections AQ104.2.1 and AQ104.2.2

AQ104.2.2.1 Size and capacity
Ladders accessing lofts shall have a rung width of not less than 12 inches (305 mm), and 10-inch (254 mm) to 14-inch (356 mm) spacing between rungs. Ladders shall be capable of supporting a 200-pound (75 kg) load on any rung. Rung spacing shall be uniform within 3/8 inch (9.5 mm).

AQ104.2.2.2 Incline
Ladders shall be installed at 70 to 80 degrees from horizontal.

AQ104.2.3 Alternating tread devices.
Alternating tread devices accessing lofts shall comply with Sections R311.7.11.1 and R311.7.11.2. The clear width at and below the handrails shall not be less than 20 inches (508 mm).

AQ104.2.4 Ships ladders.
Ships ladders accessing lofts shall comply with Sections R311.7.12.1 and R311.7.12.2. The clear width at and below handrails shall be not less than 20 inches (508 mm).

AQ104.2.5 Loft Guards
Loft guards shall be located along the open side of lofts. Loft guards shall be not less than 36 inches (914 mm) in height or one-half of the clear height to the ceiling, whichever is less.

Section AQ105
Emergency Escape and Rescue Openings

AQ105.1 General
Tiny houses shall meet the requirements of Section R310 for emergency escape and rescue openings. Exception: Egress roof access windows in lofts used as sleeping rooms shall be deemed to meet the requirements of Section R310 where installed such that the bottom of the opening is not more than 44 inches (1118 mm) above the loft floor, provided the egress roof access window complies with the minimum opening area requirements of Section R310.2.1.
To the San Marcos Planning & Zoning Commission:

As you consider actions on the proposed Land Development Code amendments this evening (Agenda Item 2), I ask that you accept my previously submitted comments (attached on the following page) urging you to please remove or defer any items that have policy implications on housing and transportation in San Marcos to later phases of the code update as associated with the Comprehensive Plan rewrite and the Strategic Housing Action Plan.

In the chart titled Exhibit A, I strongly recommend these deferrals to include items 14, 23, 26, 30, 32, 35, 36, 37, and 38 (attached and highlighted on the following pages, as well).

Recommending amendments that change parking policy to increase impervious cover and deepen our dependency on high carbon transportation or encourage low density sprawl to replace natural lands at higher and faster rates would be antithetical to solving the ongoing environmental crisis that is now a backdrop to our everyday.

Furthermore, with the pressures that the current pandemic is placing on citizen’s financial and housing insecurity, as well as this country’s long history of racial and class disparity that have been once again brought to the forefront of our minds, recommending amendments to the LDC that make it harder for people to both create and occupy small scale, incremental housing supply in all areas of town and/or occupy housing in a more affordable manner is antithetical to ending discriminatory zoning practices.

So please remove or defer the items that relate to these very serious systemic issues.

As both someone that cares deeply about San Marcos and its resilience and as someone that uses the San Marcos Land Development Code regularly and acknowledges that what may seem like a “small tweak” in this guiding document can actually have grave implications, I thank you for your review of this important matter.

Sarah Simpson
407 S. Stagecoach Trail
San Marcos
To the San Marcos Planning & Zoning Commission:

As you consider recommendations for text amendments to the Land Development Code this evening (Agenda item 4), I urge you to remove and defer any items that have policy implications on housing and transportation in San Marcos to later phases of the code update as associated with the Comprehensive Plan rewrite and the Strategic Housing Action Plan.

As outlined by staff, any code updates to follow after the current Phase 2 items before you this evening are to include “all items which would have a policy implication” and are to be postponed until a new “Comprehensive Plan and city-wide visions and goals are adopted.” Policy changes should be determined holistically and by the community any time the comprehensive plan is updated. Addressing them piecemeal and outside of this process undermines the integrity of the comp plan vision and negates collective community input.

Please honor and acknowledge the process outlined by staff - as well as the many years of collective input that went into Vision San Marcos and the resulting land development code that was just recently adopted - and pull the items that will have major impacts on housing affordability and sustainable transportation policy. In the chart titled Exhibit A, I strongly recommend these to include items 14, 23, 26, 30, 32, 35, 36, 37, and 38 (attached and highlighted on the following pages, as well).

Please defer these items to the comprehensive plan rewrite or to further action with the strategic housing action plan so that the community and associated focus boards can collectively determine direction on these and maintain the integrity of the current code and comp plan. These items have serious policy implications and will negatively impact housing affordability, sustainable transportation progress, and the holistic environmental vision as laid out in the Vision San Marcos. To include these items in any set of recommendations this evening will undermine the housing committee’s work as well as the integrity of past and future comprehensive plans.

Thank you for your review of these and any other items you see to have policy implications.

Sarah Simpson
407 s. Stagecoach trail
San Marcos
Please remove highlighted items that have major housing affordability and transportation policy impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Housing Task Force Recommendation</td>
<td>2.5.1.4</td>
<td>Add “meets affordability needs as defined in the Strategic Housing Action Plan” as rezone evaluation criteria.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented which would require staff and decision makers to consider alignment with all applicable City Council adopted plans as a criteria for approval when considering rezones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Housing Task Force Recommendation</td>
<td>3.6.3.1</td>
<td>Exempt smaller lot and infill development from the Lot Width to Depth requirements</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented. This amendment would exempt infill development only (not small lot development) from the 3:1 lot width to depth requirement. The amendment as proposed would not allow these lots to exceed a 6:1 width to depth ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Housing Task Force Recommendation</td>
<td>4.4.6.1</td>
<td>Allow ADU parking in second layer of lot.</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented which would allow the additional parking required for Accessory Dwelling Units in the second, as well as third layer of the lot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Housing Task Force Recommendation</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Article 6 San Marcos Code of Ordinances, Chapter 14, Buildings and Building Regulations</td>
<td>Modify definition of RV and manufactured home parks to include tiny homes. Adopt Appendix Q of 2018 building code</td>
<td>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented which would clarify that 1) tiny homes (built to building code) are allowed in Manufactured Home zoning districts and should follow Manufacture Home park standards, and 2) that tiny home construction and inspections shall meet requirements in Appendix Q of the International Residential Code. Staff recommends consideration of tiny home specific standards and policy decisions following the update and adoption of the San Marcos Comprehensive Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Predevelopment Meetings</td>
<td>2.3.1.1 (D)</td>
<td>Make predevelopment meetings mandatory. Provide Responsible Official with authority to waive if application does not warrant a meeting.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as presented to require predevelopment meetings unless waived by the Responsible Official.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Amendment Type</td>
<td>Code Section</td>
<td>Proposed Amendment</td>
<td>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Text Amendments</td>
<td>2.4.1.2 (B)</td>
<td>Remove requirement for initial authorization from City Council for amendments initiated, requested, or directed by City Council.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as presented to remove requirement for initial authorization from City Council when analysis and recommendation on amendment is requested or directed by Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Zoning Procedures</td>
<td>2.5.1.2-2.5.1.3</td>
<td>Allow Planning &amp; Zoning Commission to recommend approval of a less intense zoning district classification. Allow City Council to approve a less intense zoning district classification.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as presented to allow Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council to recommend and approve a less intense zoning district classification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Certificates of Appropriateness</td>
<td>2.5.5.5</td>
<td>Make City Council (not ZBOA) the appellate body for City owned properties.</td>
<td>This amendment was reviewed by City Council and Planning &amp; Zoning Commission at June 5, 2019 Workshop with the recommendation to staff to bring forward. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented. This amendment would designate City Council as the appellate body for City owned properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Concept Plats</td>
<td>3.2.1.1</td>
<td>Exempt applicant from requirement for Concept Plat when applicant is ready to submit a Preliminary Plat</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as presented to remove requirement for a Concept Plat when the applicant is prepared to submit a preliminary plat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Block Perimeter</td>
<td>3.6.2.1</td>
<td>Increase maximum block perimeter in ETJ from 3,000 feet to 5,000 feet.</td>
<td>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments. Staff recommends the amendment as increase the maximum block perimeter in the ETJ from 3,000 feet to 5,000 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Block Perimeter</td>
<td>3.6.2.1</td>
<td>Add waiver/exception to block perimeter requirement in Heavy Industrial districts.</td>
<td>This amendment was reviewed by City Council and Planning &amp; Zoning Commission at June 5, 2019 Workshop with the recommendation to staff to bring forward. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to allow for an increased block perimeter in HI districts in order to accommodate facilities that by their nature, are larger than general commercial facilities. Staff recommends not allowing the perimeter to exceed any more than what is needed for the individual structure and the required parking and landscaping.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 25     | Streets                 | 3.7.2.2 - 3.7.2.6             | Align right-of-way standards in Development Code to recommendations appendix of Transportation Master Plan. | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  
Staff recommends the amendments as presented to ensure the dimensional standards for ROW in the Development Code match the Transportation Master Plan. |
| 26     | Zoning                  | 4.4.3.3.                      | Include occupancy restrictions in CD-3 zoning.                                                               | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  
Staff recommends the amendment as presented to apply occupancy restrictions in CD-3 zoning districts which are intended for low density residential. |
| 27     | Building Types          | 4.2.1.1 (Update 4.4.1.1-4.4.3.7 to reflect updated definition) | Provide better definition for "house" and "cottage."                                                        | This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.  
Staff recommends the amendments as presented to clarify that since a "house" and "cottage" building type are identical and the base standards of the zoning district determine the structure size and scale, only one building type is necessary. |
| 28     | Historic Preservation Commission Recommendation | San Marcos Design Manual, Section C.5.1.1 | Add sustainability purpose section to Historic Design Guidelines Standards for Sustainability | Resolution 2019-03RR was approved by Council in December 2019. In February 2020, Council directed staff to bring forward amendments during the current update rather than as a separate agenda item.  
Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented in order to include a purpose statement in the Sustainability Guidelines in Historic Districts section of the Design Manual. |
| 29     | Event Center Use        | 5.5.5.9.                      | Add new "Special Events Facility" use                                                                        | This amendment was requested by a developer in January 2020.  
Staff recommends consideration of the amendments as presented in order to facilitate this new use city-wide. |
| 30     | Neighborhood Density District | 4.4.2.2.                     | Add an ND-3.2 zoning district which allows more moderate increase in density, setbacks, and allowed housing types from conventional residential districts | Major housing policy change. Bloats the code and undermines intent to encourage more affordable missing middle housing types.  
Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented. |
| 31     | Comprehensive Plan Map Amendments | 2.4.2.3.                     | Require majority-plus-one vote from Planning & Zoning and City Council for all comprehensive plan map amendments | City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session.  
Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amendment Type</th>
<th>Code Section</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Staff Notes &amp; Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Application Processing-Informational Meetings</td>
<td>4.1.1.6</td>
<td>Require Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment any time a higher intensity zoning designation is requested; Remove &quot;Corridor&quot; column from table.</td>
<td>City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Neighborhood Transitions</td>
<td>4.3.4.5</td>
<td>Replace current standards with requirement that Commercial use across the street from established residential use be limited to 1 story.</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to limit height within a certain distance of a single family zoning district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Durable Building Materials</td>
<td>4.3.5.1.7</td>
<td>Include a statement that the City prefers the use of Durable Building Materials</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would require a CUP in low density, single-family districts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Accessory Dwelling Units</td>
<td>5.1.1.2, 5.1.3.1</td>
<td>Change from by-right to conditional use in all districts</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would require a CUP in low density, single-family districts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>7.1.2.1</td>
<td>On street parking should not count towards Multifamily parking requirement in CD-5D districts</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>7.1.2.2.B.1</td>
<td>Remove exemption for projects of 10 or fewer units in CD-5 and CD-5D zones</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Character District</td>
<td>4.4.3.3</td>
<td>Add a Character District (CD) between 2 and 3 that allows single family with occupancy restrictions compatible with other CDs. Add maximum lot width to CD-5D.</td>
<td>The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major policy change. Adds significant time and expense barriers to small scale infill projects in existing neighborhoods. Removing corridor-based language undermines vision san marcos intent.

Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to limit height within a certain distance of a single family zoning district.

Housing / Affordability

Major housing policy change. Eliminating ADUs by right goes against intent of code to allow incremental, small scale development / missing middle housing built by typical home owner. Already have requirement for primary house to be owner-occupied, piling on additional barriers to ADU creation.

Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to limit height within a certain distance of a single family zoning district.

Housing / Affordability

Major transportation policy change. Leads to increased impervious cover and underutilized assets of public on street parking in neighborhoods; barrier to small scale development.

Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.

Housing / Affordability

Major housing and transportation policy change. CD2 is rural and CD3 is low density housing. By creating a CD2.5 equivalent to legacy districts, undermines intention of vision san marcos to create improved development patterns that help conserve natural lands.
June 9, 2020

Commissioners,

I am going to take this opportunity to draw a line from where we find ourselves today on a national level and the actions we can take at a local level to address the continued inequalities of our times.

Through the work of the housing taskforce our community was able to gain great insight into the housing needs and wants of our citizens. We were also able to look broadly across the policies, codes, ordinances and community attitudes that stood in direct conflict to delivering these housing opportunities. We benefitted from more public engagement and citizen input than any other City initiative to date and were only in existence because city leadership said meaningfully addressing affordability in our community was a priority.

We brought forth a Strategic plan outlining concrete steps that would guarantee the needle would move on creating a richer, more inclusive and diverse community by allowing/supporting the creation of the kinds of housing that would set the stage. At that time the members of this Commission chose not to adopt the plan as it was created but rather remove the most effective/efficient strategies. I would ask why?

In addition, Code SMTX was a community informed years long initiative. The result of which was not a perfect but a much better guiding document for the continued growth and development of our community. It was adopted a few short years ago and is now literally in jeopardy of being dismantled. Again, I would ask why?

At this time in history we are again reminded that it will never work to favor the desires of a few over the needs of many. This community has many needs and we will not create a space for everyone if we continue to move forward with the kinds of further restrictive recommended amendments you have before you now. Neighborhoods are not things to be “protected” they are meant to be places where we welcome people into our community. By making it clear through policy and codes that you favor one kind of neighbor-the kind that occupies detached single-family homes- you are making it clear you are not interested in inclusion and diversity in your community.

I will not make specific comments here on each recommended amendment but rather submit another document outlining my thoughts.

Sincerely,

Laura Dupont

1024 W. San Antonio St.
Commissioners,

Please see the below comments regarding this evening’s agenda items.

**Item #14 – Strategic Action Housing Plan:** The intention of this Housing Taskforce recommendation was to prioritize affordable housing in all rezoning decisions. Frankly, I’m very disappointed the recommendation was watered down to be one of many and feel strongly we must use affordability as the strongest lens by which we view all rezoning requests. If we do not elevate the discussion at this level we are guaranteed to not only miss opportunities to create affordable housing options but risk going backwards. I feel leaving this recommendation intact is a baseline indicator of whether or not City Leadership takes the issue of affordability in San Marcos seriously.

**Item #26 – CD 3 Occupancy Restrictions:** Expanding these restrictions is in direct conflict with the Housing Taskforce recommendation to lessen/loosen them citywide. Occupancy restrictions like this are prejudiced against lower-income communities and are a form of exclusionary zoning. The Character Districts are only for new development intensity zones so this has no impact on existing neighborhoods, where occupancy restrictions already exclude some populations or force them to live in violation of the code. It was the specific intent of CodeSMTX to omit occupancy restrictions from the Character Districts an intention supported by the work of the Housing Taskforce.

**Item #32 – “Information Meetings” for Neighborhood Districts:** This is introducing significant process barriers and costs to more affordable housing typologies in infill areas that may be fatal for proposed small projects located close to existing services. These 3 additional public meetings proposed are likely to functionally add a minimum of 2-3 months to the entitlement process already expanded in CodeSMTX for zoning categories meant for incremental infill housing. This provision will predominately punish homeowners and incremental builders disproportionately.

**Item #35 – Making ADUs a Conditional Use for all Zoning Districts:** In my opinion allowing ADU’s by right was one of the biggest achievements of the LDC update in 2018. Having the ability to build an ADU on your property is one of the only ways a community member, not a “developer” could participate in adding an affordable unit to the housing pool. It is my understanding that since 2018 there have been less than 15 ADUs constructed city wide and all without issue or concern. Requiring ADU’s to go through a CUP process is going backwards if the desired goal is to work towards creating affordable housing in our community. It is also in direct conflict with the intentions of CodeSMTX and SMTX 4 All Housing Taskforce recommendations.
**Item #38 – CD-2.5:** One of the primary purposes of the Character Districts is to prevent sprawl from being perpetuated in San Marcos. The proposed CD 2.5 districts injects sprawl into intensity zones and growth areas. This will punish future generations of San Marcos with more pollution, traffic, and health issues which disproportionately harms low-income communities. It creates further affordability issues by stranding populations away from employment and services without access to a personal vehicle (expensive) and is too low a density to be supported by meaningful transit services.

Sincerely,

Laura Dupont
1024 W. San Antonio St.
Dear San Marcos Planning and Zoning Commission,

It’s been more than 50 years since President Lyndon Baines Johnson signed the Fair Housing Act into law. It’s intent was to ban the discrimination in the sale, rental, and financing of housing. However, some of our local elected and appointed leaders continue to create barriers for thousands of San Marcos families who are desperately trying to find affordable housing that is safe, healthy, and connected to the resources they need.

I believe it’s no accident that the East Side of San Marcos continues to be underserved and it does not surprise me that these same neighborhoods are just now seeing drainage projects come to fruition although we’ve experienced flooding on this side of San Marcos for generations.

Please know that Item #14, Item #26, Item #32, and Item #35 and the proposed changes to our land development code will have a negative impact on affordability in San Marcos and continue to segregate our community.

That is why I am emailing you to humbly request you do the following:

1. Adopt the Housing Task Force’s recommended language to include “meets affordability needs as defined in the Strategic Action Housing Plan,” not Staff’s amended text.
2. Do not add Occupancy Restrictions to CD3 Zoning Districts and that you have a serious conversation about the severe equity issues related to the occupancy restrictions already existing in other zoning categories.
3. Reject the requirement that three additional public Informational Meetings be held for zoning map amendment requests to Neighborhood Districts.
4. Do not introduce more barriers to affordable housing and homeowner-builders by requiring CUPs for Accessory Dwelling Units. Please keep the current restrictions.
5. Do not create a sprawl-fueling CD2.5 zoning district.

Thank you for your time, your attention, and for your service to San Marcos.

Respectfully,

Juan Miguel Arredondo
San Marcos CISD, District One (East of I-35)
Good evening,

I served on the committee that helped develop our Land Development Code and I would like to address some of the proposed amendments to that code. I will be brief:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section/Summary</th>
<th>My Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.6.2.1 Increase ETJ max block perimeter</td>
<td>These areas may eventually be annexed and should be held to standards that we would want within the City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.2.2 Add ND-3.2 zoning</td>
<td>This is unnecessary and complicates the Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.4.5 C across from established residential be limited to 1-story</td>
<td>“Established residential” includes multi-story MF so this would not be appropriate. Setbacks would be a better solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1.2 Change by right to CUP for ADUs</td>
<td>This violates the original intent of the Code. There is no reason ADUs should be under different oversight than houses or apartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.3.3 Add CD 2.3</td>
<td>This is unnecessary and complicates the Code.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you for your consideration,

Betsy Robertson